'I Just Juggle': Work and Family Balance in Australian Organisations

There is a plethora of research that addresses women's need to integrate family and community responsibilities with paid work and an extensive debate on the work and family interface in Australia, and how it can best be accommodated across workplaces. The Australian Council of Trade Unions (ACTU) made a submission to the Australian Industrial Relations Commission (AIRC) test case on Work and Family with the emphasis on family leave. In 2005, the AIRC ruled that employees have a right to request family-related leave; increased simultaneous parental leave to eight weeks at the time of birth; increased the total of parental leave to two years, and allowed a return from parental leave on a part-time basis until the child reaches school age. It also extended the amount of time and the conditions under which employees could request leave as carers or in emergencies, but emphasised that all these provisions were dependent on the effect on the workplace or the employer's business. More recent legislation, the Workplace Relations Amendment (Work Choices) Act 2005, has rendered even these provisions largely irrelevant, with the Australian Fair Pay and Conditions Standard considerably paring back allowable conditions in industrial instruments.

In Australia, engaging in casual and/or part-time work has been the preference for many women with children, especially in the context of unevenly distributed child care arrangements. Government assumes that part-time and flexible working hours will be in demand, 'especially from those with caring responsibilities' and will involve a significant part of the paid workforce. Individuals and families confront growing time pressures from extended paid and unpaid working hours, and working adults, especially women, juggle paid and unpaid work as time spent in paid work competes with time for children, partners and elderly parents, and time for household chores and personal leisure. This pressure is increasing as demands (time, energy) at home impinge on work performance, and vice versa. Conflicts and tensions arise since role overload or role interference occurs when there is not enough time or energy to meet the commitments of multiple roles or when the expectations and demands of the two roles conflict.

The choice to work full time, part-time, or not at all, most often made by mothers, is dependent on whether they can find accessible, affordable child care, adequate parental leave or an acceptable way of juggling many roles, with the consequence that the choices many women make are constrained. It has been suggested that more equitable sharing of family responsibilities is needed to 'make it
easier for both women and men to meet their caring responsibilities as well as to enjoy the rewards of sharing', but also that family-friendly policies in the workforce, mostly used by women, are more likely to entrench women's disadvantage in the workplace than otherwise. Pocock argues that the society lacks a consensus between men and women and among women on these issues, while political and industrial factors make a balance more difficult to achieve: a situation which is likely to be exacerbated rather than diminished as industrial relations reform is extended. Meanwhile, established patterns of men's and women's expectations of work and home life have so far proved intractable.

How, then, in the absence of major cultural or policy change, is it possible for men and women to engage in paid work and be actively involved in family and community at the same time? The older term 'family-friendly' workplace has given way to the concept of 'work-life balance', and a 'perception of satisfactorily resolving the multiple and often incompatible demands of work and family roles'. Programs that promote a work-life balance for women acknowledge the potentially conflicting demands of being a conscientious and committed parent at the same time as being a conscientious and committed employee.

Table 1 Checklist of arrangements for work-family balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of arrangement</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income security</td>
<td>Rates of pay, having a regular &amp; secure income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment security</td>
<td>Predictable hours, ongoing employment, able to take career breaks, able to undertake financial commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to care arrangements</td>
<td>Affordable, accessible childcare, other care (e.g. elder)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to flexible leave</td>
<td>Standard leave entitlements, parental leave, switch between types of leave, leave in emergencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible working time arrangements</td>
<td>Able to vary hours, start/finish times, flexitime, job share or other innovations over the life course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control over unfriendly working hours</td>
<td>Vary or avoid long, unpredictable, unsociable working hours or changes at short notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to training &amp; career path</td>
<td>Includes access for part-time workers and career breaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovative work arrangements</td>
<td>Study leave, home work, telecommute etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


While there is no one definition of what constitutes a family-friendly workplace or one that promotes work-life balance, there is a
broad consensus, derived from previous research, of desirable policies and practices. At least for the foreseeable future, the central issues for women who are carers revolve around a limited agenda that would give them enough flexibility to take leave from paid work to fulfil family responsibilities without jeopardising job security or other opportunities at work. The ACTU identifies working hours, control over hours of work, and leave arrangements including parental, annual and carer’s leave as the three main areas to be addressed in reconciling work and family needs, assuming adequate safety nets and adequate incomes. Table 1 outlines a suggested list of provisions.

**Methodology**

Against this background, in 2004 the authors undertook a number of case studies with organisations that were obligated to submit reports to the Equal Opportunity for Women in the Workplace Agency (EOWA). This paper reports on an analysis of six workplaces. The research uses documentary information (EEO reports and Workplace Agreements) together with structured interviews with managers and women employees to address the research questions. The researchers visited the main work site for each of the six organisations and interviewed HR managers, other managers and a selection of female staff, using a semi-structured protocol. Focus groups were conducted with between two and nine women employees in five of the organisations. A total of 60 people were interviewed individually or in focus groups, and the transcripts analysed using QSR Nudist software. Interviews took an average of 30 minutes, although there was a wide variation in duration. The interviewees were volunteers but were nominated by the HR manager in each case. The interviews covered a broad spectrum of issues related to the development, implementation and application of equal opportunity policies and practices. Women at the six workplaces were asked about the work they did, their working conditions, their level of contentment in their current role, and their ambitions. The interviews included discussion of work-family balance issues and programs. The purpose was to establish how the achievement of work-life balance was realised within the workplace and to what extent this was facilitated by (enterprise or industrial) bargaining or EEO programs. It should be noted that the research reported here pre-dates the 2005 industrial legislation. The focus in this paper is not on policy developments across workplaces, but rather how individual workplaces address the tensions between work and family commitments using both formal and informal mechanisms and processes.

The six private sector organisations were chosen on the basis that they had fulfilled the minimum requirements of reporting to the
EOWA, addressing key issues of recruitment, promotion, training and development, work organisation, conditions of services, harassment, pregnancy and breastfeeding. Three had exceeded this by being classified by the agency as an Employer of Choice for Women Employees (EOCFW) or by having their reporting requirements waived (see Table 2). They had not necessarily made an undertaking to provide family-friendly workplaces or develop programs to promote work-family balance, and such programs are not a specific requirement of the legislation. Two had approximately equal numbers of male and female employees, three were male dominated, and one had mainly women on its staff.

Table 2 Profile of the research organisations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Metal Manufacture</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>Leisure wear</th>
<th>Recreation Facility</th>
<th>Engineering Manufacture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>industry sector</td>
<td>heavy manufacture</td>
<td>machinery, component manufacture &amp; service</td>
<td>health care</td>
<td>design, market, wholesaler, retail</td>
<td>tourist park</td>
<td>heavy &amp; light manufacture &amp; service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>location</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>metropolitan</td>
<td>metropolitan</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>regional</td>
<td>regional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total employees</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>1049</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% female employees</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% female managers</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% full-time female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% p/t or casual female</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>62 (n=3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trade union</td>
<td>active</td>
<td>negligible</td>
<td>active</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>negligible</td>
<td>active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ownership</td>
<td>multinational</td>
<td>multinational</td>
<td>religious</td>
<td>US listed company</td>
<td>Australian + US</td>
<td>private, Australian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEO reporting status</td>
<td>annual</td>
<td>annual</td>
<td>EOCFW</td>
<td>waived</td>
<td>EOCFW</td>
<td>annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EOWA online searchable database of reports.

Equal Opportunity Reports

The EOWA is the statutory agency responsible for educating and monitoring EEO programs in organisations in Australia that employ over one hundred persons. Fuelled by Managing Diversity discourse, it makes statements such as ‘Women + Men = business success’ (EOWA 2006). The reports to EOWA are publicly available documents, and the most recent at the time of the fieldwork were examined to identify aspects which fit in with the checklist in Table 1. Work-life balance is not one of the seven key employment matters
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organisations must address in preparing the report, but might be an implied outcome of good EEO practice. Legislation requires these organisations to develop a workplace programme by preparing a workplace profile; analysing the equity issues for women; identifying priority issues; taking action to address them; and evaluating the effectiveness of these actions. The guidelines for EOWA reports fall short of suggesting benchmarks, privileging the business case in a broad context of equity or social justice. Issues around work-life balance emerged as key concerns in the interviews with HR managers, but did not show up as strongly in the EOWA reports, presumably because of the questions organisations are asked to answer. Issues identified as priorities for action in the EOWA reports are in table 3.

Table 3: EOWA reports: priority issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Priority issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metal Manufacture</td>
<td>display of inappropriate material, supply networked computers for flexible work from home, supply appropriate protective equipment, women in management and non-traditional roles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>lack of female representation in non-traditional areas; promotion, transfer, termination; revamp EEO committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
<td>review selection process, succession planning, training/development, work-life balance policy, leave policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisurewear</td>
<td>education re EEO and harassment policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation Facility</td>
<td>leadership training, wages and benefits equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Manufacture</td>
<td>lack of women in non-traditional roles, increasing women managers, EEO/harassment training, formalising HR policies and procedures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: EOWA online searchable database of reports.

Workplace Agreements

In this study, the only organisations that were highly unionised were those in the manufacturing sector. Many of the women employed in the case study organisations worked under individual common law contracts, although some were bound by Australian Workplace Agreements (AWAs). In the manufacturing sector workplaces, where women were employed in the plant, they were subject to the same conditions as men. The women at Engineering Manufacture and Metal Manufacture were most often found in administrative and clerical jobs, or as professionals, and were typically not included in the union-negotiated agreements. Therefore, the relevance of the AWA for women workers can be questioned. Similarly, at Technology, the agreement was union-negotiated and applied to workers in the
electrical trades, but the majority of workers were not governed by collective arrangements. Union activity was not widespread even there. At Leisurewear only a few workers in the warehouse and retail divisions worked according to an Award rather than individual contracts. The Recreation Facility had a number of union-negotiated agreements, but the union had little part to play in negotiations because, according to the HR manager, union officials did not have the support of the workers:

Our union membership is relatively low and you'll find that if you talk to a younger workforce, they don't perceive the unions as [being] for them. Our union organiser, who comes on site; his style and approach doesn't gel with a seventeen year old.

The Workplace Relations Act 1996 provided for minimum conditions for carer's leave and parental leave, and some of the agreements simply referred to this legislation. This included the Hospital, where nurses, who form a large proportion of the workforce, have a high level of union membership. Metal Manufacturer offered some paid maternity leave, and several organisations included some measure of flexibility as policy, typically as an arrangement between the individual employee and her manager or supervisor. Most of these organisations do no more than obey the law in this regard. It seems likely that they will do the same in the new legislative environment.

**Interviews**

The human resource manager, or the manager responsible for EEO, was interviewed at each organisation. All were aware that workers are also family members, and several of the HR managers were themselves doing 'double shifts' as parents of young children. They referred to a range of formal policies and informal practices that they perceived as assisting women to integrate work and family. One constant was an awareness of child care as a central issue for many women. At Technology, the demands of long and sometimes unsocial hours was accepted as making work-life balance difficult but as being part of the job role and not always a negative:

Most people who are doing assignments and things this is what they want to do. It is part of their role, and the focus is so Asia Pacific, it is just an expectation like, I've got to travel to Shanghai next week, because I'm doing this, it is just part of it (HR manager, Technology).

Tension between work and family, especially in terms of time management, was well recognised. It resulted in absenteeism or contributed to high staff turnover:
Definitely it affects workplace attendance, because if people's childcare falls through, something has got to happen. I have had discussions with CEO about what can we do to help employees with the childcare situation (HR manager, Engineering Manufacture).

This HR manager at Engineering Manufacture compared their organisation with others: 'we are not perceived to be family friendly. That is the thing that keeps coming back. We don't have the flexibility that is offered in other industries.'

'Work-life balance' may be one aspect of an underlying value system or professional obligation which supports equity and fairness and HR professionals, at least in some organisations (Recreation Facility, Hospital, Engineering Manufacture), saw themselves as agents for organisational change. They regarded flexible arrangements, extended leave and 'work-life balance' as important in recruiting and maintaining a productive work force, and often related this to a contented one, where adequate flexibility was available to all, and organisations and their constituents interacted in positive but not necessarily strategic ways.17

The HR managers saw themselves as providing the best solutions to work/family conflict that they could arrange within the demands of the business. This was particularly the case if the organisation faced a tight labour market: 'There is quite a bit of flexibility in our work environment. We bend over backwards to accommodate people's rostering needs' (HR manager, Hospital); and 'the working conditions are fairly flexible to suit the different needs of different people and particularly because a lot of our casuals were females, too' (HR Coordinator, Recreation Facility). Informal practices such as swapping shifts, filling in for other workers or making up time lost, were acknowledged along with formal policies set out in conditions of employment or industrial instruments:

Reception is job shared, three ways. And that works well for me, on two fronts. They are all mums. They run their own show and my only criterion is — they actually report to me — that so long as I've got someone there. So, they have their own and each other's home number, so if the child is sick they coordinate amongst themselves to make certain someone is there (HR manager, Leisurewear Facility).

At Engineering Manufacture there was limited flexibility over start and finish times:

Most of the admin staff work 8.30 to 5.00. If an employee goes to their manager and says can I change my hours to be 9.00 to 5.30 to fit in with school or whatever, if that is acceptable to the manager, then that can be done. There's not flexibility in that we don't have flexitime (HR manager, Engineering Manufacture).
In some instances the flexibility had become incorporated into formal policies:

Things like being able to leave early on certain days, and grouping up your hours, having time in lieu and they are all in that [new] contract which we haven't had in others (HR manager, Technology).

The researchers interviewed two trade union representatives, one at Metals Manufacture and one at Hospital. Both were male union delegates for their workplace. At Metals Manufacture, the union representative spoke of the changes that had been made to accommodate women in the production process, that made the job easier and safer for all employees. However, he pointed out that few women wanted to engage in non-traditional occupations that were inherently hot, dirty and arduous. He saw equal opportunity policies as a way of preventing nepotism and cronyism. When asked specifically about workplace negotiations in relation to child care, he stated that:

It was dropped mainly because, number one, we're supposed to be asking or fighting or negotiating for everybody, anybody in the AWU. Not everyone has the problem with childcare. So, we didn't think it was a priority. Our priority was to make sure the majority got their wishes and their pay rises (Union Delegate, Metals Manufacture).

A similar comment was made at Engineering Manufacture, where the HR Manager (no union delegate was interviewed) acknowledged that the focus in negotiations was on pay increases.

It might be expected that nurses at the Hospital, with its competitive labour market and predominantly female profile, would push for family-friendly policies. However, there too the union was chiefly concerned with issues of pay and the delegate (male, childless) regarded child care, flexible working arrangements and other 'family-friendly' policies as peripheral issues. Perhaps this simply reflects the fact that managers 'bend over backwards' to accommodate employee preferences in order to recruit and retain hard-to-get workers. However the researchers were told by the HR manager:

I was really surprised that in the nursing agreement there was no request for extended leave or any changes in the maternity leave provisions. I really anticipated that, and in some ways I hoped for it: I put it in my annual report. So nothing. I suspect that next time round, with the work and family test case and with industry movements in general, I suspect next time round there will be more issues that will be discussed (HR manager, Hospital).

The interviews reveal that work/family issues are not high on the union agenda. It could be that these organisations are already
providing a range of flexible options that meet most workers' needs, through either formal policies or informal arrangements sanctioned by the culture of the organisation. The latter, in particular, rely upon the individual manager and lead to increased variability of practice across the organisation. Yet research in other workplaces has shown that issues related to a particular group of workers (parents/women) are lost in the process of bargaining and prioritising of claims.¹⁸

Did women at all levels of the organisations want the sorts of workplace arrangements outlined in Table 1? Generally, the women interviewed did not question their dual roles as paid employee and key family members.¹⁹ They regarded both roles as important.

**Income and Employment Security**

In these organisations, the women interviewed were generally comfortable with the degree of security they had. The two organisations that relied on casual labour to meet seasonal or regular shortfall also offered some job and income security. The Hospital faces a continual shortage of skilled personnel in clinical and service areas (food service, laundry) and women, especially nurses, know that work is easily available elsewhere. Consequently, some prefer to work limited hours as part-timers and then add extra shifts as casuals (casual workers are paid at a higher rate to compensate for fewer benefits):

- You can work part time, do fewer night duties and then pick up other shifts when they suit you and that gives all the employees a lot of flexibility and a lot of people will do that. They will commit to two or three shifts and then pick up extras when and as they choose (registered nurse, Hospital).

- At Recreation Facility, a system of 'banking hours' allows casual workers to receive some payment during weeks when they are not working. One employee liked the higher rate of pay as a casual and the banking of hours:

  - I have just had 10 days off, because I have had my parents up from Victoria, so I had banked 29 hours, so I could take those hours and I haven't lost any pay, which has worked well for me (casual worker, single mother, Recreation Facility).

Seasonal (summer and vacation time) work was generally low skill and low status work, and usually carried out by students or others seeking such employment. The HR representatives in Recreation Facility and Leisurewear applauded this type of arrangement as enabling them to fill seasonal gaps in employee numbers and to re-employ trained people, some of whom they regarded as potential full-time employees. In these organisations, casual workers did not feel marginalised or disadvantaged. We postulate that they are
nevertheless subject to fluctuations in demand, whether seasonal or
due to other factors.

**Access to Care Arrangements**

For women, child care issues were a frequently discussed aspect of
maintaining their dual roles and a source of stress. Managers were
aware of the strain placed on mothers in securing and maintaining
child care places, and five organisations had at least considered the
need to provide child care on site or help mothers to locate care.
None had done so. At Hospital a new program to assist employees to
find appropriate child care was being introduced on a trial basis.

Informal arrangements with neighbours, friends and relatives
providing much of the care, seemed the most common solution for
mothers. A mother of three who works part-time explained how she
managed:

> One at school, one at kindy two days a week and the baby at
> home. My husband does shift work so we do the ships in the
> night tag team. We've decided while they are little ... to just do it.
> It would be nice not to have to do that but it's too hard to get
> them into nice childcare. There's not a lot of nice childcare
> around. I'm probably very fussy, but to be able to do that for a
> few years and just juggle it (physiotherapist, part-time, Hospital).

At Recreation Facility, a single mother with a casual job said that
'my biggest problem, I had to accept that when I took the job, is that
school holidays is like a nightmare. You are working six days, and
that is the time your children are off as well.' Like many others, she
relies on a personal, informal network to strike a balance between her
various responsibilities, and this may involve taking on extra duties
outside work: 'But I try to work it with my friends that I might have
their children the day I have off, and they have mine.' Mothers
consistently reported their anxiety about the availability of good
quality child care, or care that suited their working hours and school
holiday arrangements. The interviews suggested many of these
women relied on family, friends and neighbours to provide all or
some of the care. Where this was a reciprocal arrangement, the
burden of unpaid work was increased because these women took on
the care of other children as well as their own.

Nurse managers at the hospital were aware that women could not
be expected to work overtime without making arrangements for their
children, and allowed them time to find alternative care. At
Recreation Facility there were some jobs (working in the café or retail
sector) that were ‘tailor-made’ for women with school age children
because they were short shifts in the middle of the day. The same
organisation still expected these employees to work during weekends.
and school holidays. Not all managers were cognisant of child care issues, and one manager told us that child care was not an issue for him, but was a private matter.

**Access to Flexible Leave Arrangements**

The interviews confirmed that women prize most of all the ability to balance their paid work with other obligations to family and community. At one workplace (Engineering Manufacture), rigid hours and conditions with no access to part-time work or extended maternity leave were seen as detrimental to maintaining a workable balance between these two aspects of their lives. Elsewhere employees could return from maternity leave to part time work:

> I came back two days a week when they were five months and then three days a week when they were one year. And then four days a week when they were about eighteen months, then full-time when they were two. So, I had a staggered increase, which was fabulous (mother of twins, Metals Manufacture).

Although sick leave and personal leave most often came from the same pool of leave, employees were usually satisfied with this arrangement. In the case of a family emergency, most of the women felt that they could take leave at short notice. Leisurewear employees were able to take time off for personal reasons, but were expected to make up the time and meet deadlines. One manager described the situation of a mother of a three year old:

> She's full-time but if he's got green snot in his nose he's not allowed to go to day care. So, I'll let her go until she can get her father to look after him, mid-morning, or whatever, and we make that time up. We just try and be really flexible with that.

**Flexible Working-Time Arrangements and Control Over Hours Worked**

Part-time work and flexible working hours were generally available in these organisations. This, however, depended on business demands, and some roles were more amenable to flexible starting and finishing times than others. Short or irregular shifts at peak periods suited the needs of the Recreation Facility and Leisurewear, where seasonal and even daily demand varied. Where skilled workers were in short supply, casuals could fill in the gaps when other workers were on vacation, or as a trial before being accepted as a full-time employee with all the associated benefits (Metals Manufacture). As a global company, Technology needed to have employees available at odd times because a lot of roles are communicating especially within the software centre, they are up early in the morning to speak to the US and they are up late at night to speak to the UK or whatever, so the
hours are not always set and they are actually quite flexible’ (manager, Technology). It is apparent that the so-called flexibility is in the employer’s interests even if it also suits the employee, and in fact the choice of working hours is severely constrained.

At the Hospital, managers told the researchers they went to a great deal of effort to make rosters to suit individual’s personal and family needs. According to two nurse managers, arranging and re-arranging rosters was a time consuming task, and they understood that this was a considerable but necessary cost to the organisation. One nurse manager responded:

Work life balance is always an issue particularly when one is doing rostering. That’s something you have to take into account and it’s all the more these days because nursing is at a premium, the nurses are at a premium. To maintain your staff and to retain your staff you have to be very flexible and you have to take into account their family life — their children, if they are carers. I do that all the time (nurse manager, Hospital).

Nurses appreciated but also expected this kind of control over their working lives:

On the ward where I work we’ve got a mixture of 4 hour, 6 hour, 8 hour, 12 hour shifts. Most of our night shifts are a 12 hour night. Some of them [nurses] only want to do say 4 hours a day. They want to pick the kids up in the afternoon or whatever. As far as the 12 hour shifts are concerned, I only work 13 shifts a month and work full-time, so basically work 3 days a week (nurse, Hospital).

Recreation Facility similarly allowed a variety of working arrangements and lengths of working time, while Leisurewear allowed varying start and finish times for most employees. It was apparent that individuals made their own informal arrangements with co-workers, swapping shifts or filling in for each other, and this was condoned by managers. For example, in one clinic at the Hospital radiographers were happy to ‘swap’ a few hours of parts of a shift to fit in with out-of-work responsibilities. At Engineering Manufacture, however, it was the lack of ‘room to move’ that most angered women employees: ‘My daughter is getting her School Certificate on Friday and I have to take annual leave to be there’ (administrative officer, Engineering Manufacture).

At most workplaces, employees could switch between full-time and part-time work, and vary work hours over the life course, and they regarded this as a necessary condition of employment:

I was on maternity leave when I was here but only for a few months. Then I did part-time for twelve months but the last few years I changed down to a four day week and shorter, doing
school hours only. Then I increased it doing a project for the next twelve months, so I am back here full-time, at the moment (senior clerical worker, Metals Manufacture).

Access to Training and Career Development
All the workplaces acknowledged the need for staff development. Recreation Facility accepted that women were sometimes favoured in offering leadership training, and this supported an affirmative action philosophy. In all but one organisation, women were positive about their access to training and advancement, but there were also individual differences in terms of what they wanted:

The next step. Yes, that’s my thing, I think, ‘oh, my gosh, I’ve come so far’, I don’t know now. I think I am quite happy on this level for the moment (attraction supervisor, Recreation Facility).

The opposite view was expressed by another employee:

I’ve been doing this job for a year and it’s rather a huge job and I keep thinking to myself, now, do I want any more stress than what I’ve got now? But I suppose if the assistant manager’s — because that is where the next step is — if the assistant manager’s [job] came up... (retail supervisor, Recreation Facility).

Innovative Work Arrangements
Technology had the most sophisticated approach to work-from-home with employees equipped with notebook computers, but several others (Metals Manufacture, Leisurewear) had made arrangements for employees to telecommute at least for part of the working day or week, particularly when returning from maternity leave. Hospital had nurse managers who worked part-time or job shared, and the HR manager reported that while this had met with initial resistance, it was now acknowledged as successful. Leisurewear accepted a variety of working arrangements, but meetings and deadlines were less flexible when examined more closely, because team members had an obligation to participate together. Some jobs, for example operating theatres at the Hospital, some technical roles at Technology, Metals Manufacture and Engineering Manufacture, and direct service roles at all the workplaces are simply not amenable to working from home or at varied hours. Heavy machinery, customer service, OHS and ease of team, or work group interaction are cited as explanations.

Impact of the EEO Program
Generally, women who were mothers or carers were content with their working arrangements as long as they were able, and felt permitted, to take time out occasionally to fulfil other commitments
for themselves or their families. Most had negotiated a work situation
with which they were comfortable; the exception was at Engineering
Manufacture where women clerical and administrative workers felt
disadvantaged in relation to men of similar status, and men and
women who worked under trade union negotiated agreements.
Unlike women in the other organisations, they felt they had little or
no opportunity of advancement: they were in dead-end jobs.

In the case studies, each organisation had its own EEO program
and, while all were strategic in the sense that they aimed to engage
and retain the best available work force while minimising the risk of
adverse legal action, the application of EEO principles was pragmatic
and dependent on labour market forces and the influence of HR
managers on overall policy. Some organisations chose to undertake a
value-based commitment to individual worth, as at the private
Hospital or at Metals Manufacture. Recreation Facility emphasised a
sense of participation in its local community. An understanding that
employees' needs and interests change over the life cycle
(Leisurewear, Hospital), or a more prosaic need to fill the vacancies
and retain skilled workers in a tight labour market or particular
geographic location (Hospital, Technology, Metals Manufacture)
were also important. The legislation is not sufficient to guarantee
women a career path or a work-life balance, but does reinforce the
acceptance of women's place at work and the concomitant
responsibilities to family and community that typically accompany it.

The Commitment Gap

How do women workers fill the so-called commitment gap between
full-time, fully engaged paid work, and the equally insistent claims on
their lives outside that world? A shift from a traditional concept of
commitment as 'a desire to remain in, and identification with the
organisation' to a more proactive, innovative, mutually beneficial
relationship between employer and employee has been suggested.20
Part-time workers are not necessarily less committed to their work
and do not invest less in their careers because they also committed to
rearing a family?21 In this small study, it is apparent that women,
including those working part-time and as casual employees, value
their work and are committed to the enterprise which engages them.
However, part-time employees demonstrated their commitment in
the more traditional way by working outside their paid hours. A
manager at Leisurewear, speaking of his personal assistant, said that
he told her:

'I don't care if you come here two days a week, I know you are
going to give me between the other three days, you're going to
give me a day's work. I don't care.' Even on the days she's not
working I get phone calls to make sure that I get my airline tickets so that I can go overseas, or I forgot to tell you this — she's working anyway.

While several interviewees voiced the opinion that they were willing to forgo promotion for the time being in favour of spending more time and energy with the family, this did not seem to mean they were not committed to the job they were doing or would not, in the longer term, seek more training or career progression. The case studies confirm that for these women, commitment to the paid workforce is ongoing but uneven in terms of the time commitment they can give paid work at some points in their lives.

**Conclusion**

These organisations and their employees used a range of formal and informal strategies to relieve the tensions between home and work. Most women accepted the practices in place at their workplace. It struck the researchers that the use of family, friends, and neighbours to fill the child care gap was seen by both the workers and their managers as a way out of difficult situations. In the same way, 'swapping shifts' or 'filling in' for a co-worker was a way in which reciprocal obligations were undertaken and resolved. Relying on informal social networks, particularly family, is a time-honoured way of sharing the burden of child care and subsistence, especially in pre-industrial societies, and it has not been lost as women have forged new relationships to the wider economy. Yet making these informal arrangements involves considerable time and potential stress to workers. Most women in this study were accepting of the practices in place in their workplace. Put simply, they did not seek more than was offered. What they were offered depended on the job they did, the company they worked for, the manager of their work unit and the goodwill of others. Women's priorities were not necessarily the same as those set out in the EOWA reports, which reflect management's ideas.

The emphasis on decentralised bargaining to resolve work-life balance issues means that any settlement is dependent upon enterprise conditions and the relative bargaining position of the parties. This is not a position that is very propitious for many working women. Management may or may not be responsive to the need to develop appropriate programs, and this may depend on the labour market or other factors in the economic cycle. Even then, organisational policies do not always translate into actual benefit. Unions may be more or less enthusiastic about negotiating for measures that do not apply to all members, or they may have other priorities. Where women are employed in a marginal capacity as
casual or low-paid workers, their bargaining power and bargaining skills may be constrained. Additionally, the nature of some work and some workplaces limits the opportunities for innovative or flexible working arrangements.

The findings from the research suggest that having an organisational EEO program and workplace agreement making is no guarantee that work and family measures will be introduced at the workplace. Supplying a conforming report to EOWA does not translate into having effective practices that support a work-life balance, or that enhance women's chances of stable, family-friendly employment. Australian legislation contains few minimum safeguards and hence conditions offered vary widely between organisations and even within them if there is a lack of formal policies. The government has hailed the introduction of the Workplaces Relations Amendment (Work Choices) Act 2005, operative from 27 March 2006, as a way of simplifying and reducing the degree of regulation in the labour market and enhancing work-life balance: 'WorkChoices is a new, national workplace relations system that will provide more choice and flexibility for employees in the workplace. The system will offer better ways to reward effort, increase wages and balance work and family life.' The legislation has been widely criticised for the potential to disempower low paid and marginal workers and add to the stress on families by reducing or eliminating former safeguards for workers with family responsibilities who need parental, carer's or predictable annual leave, those with low pay or precarious (marginal) attachment to the workforce or irregular or unsocial hours of work. The new legislation will offer most workers fewer minimum conditions, and certainly reduce security of employment. Neither the reporting process nor organisational policy ensures that women's requirements are addressed in a coherent way. It is unlikely that decentralised bargaining will do so either.

The EEO and workplace bargaining regime are both very dependent on the 'business case' for family-friendly employment measures: one which is supported by Government and its agencies (for example EOWA) but is in tension with other ideas based on arguments from equity and social justice. In turn, this means that such measures are very unequally distributed within and across workplaces, and that development and implementation is heavily dependent upon managerial prerogative.
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