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Abstract. We provide an explicit recipe for constructing a function on an arbitrary real Banach space whose Clarke and approximate subdifferentials are identically equal to the dual unit ball.

1. Introduction

Our aim, motivated by ideas in [6], is to provide on any Banach space $X$ an explicit Lipschitz function (think of a "dimpled" golf ball) whose Clarke and approximate subdifferential is identically the ball. In [3, 2] the existence of such a function was established by Baire category techniques as part of more general results, but no direct construction was provided. Indeed, this construction appears new even in two dimensions. The history of the subject is described in some detail in [3, 2]. It is possible to be much more precise about the prevalence of such "maximal" subdifferentials [4]. (See also [12, 13].)

For a real-valued function $f: A \to \mathbf{R}$ we say that $f$ is $K$-Lipschitz on $A$ if $K > 0$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in A$. When $K = 1$, $f$ is called non-expansive.

The right-hand lower Dini derivative of $f$ at a point $x$ in the direction $v$ is given by

$$f^- (x; v) := \liminf_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t},$$

while the — possibly empty — Dini subdifferential $\partial_- f$ is given by

$$\partial_- f(x) := \{ x^* \in X^* | \langle x^*, v \rangle \leq f^- (x; v) \text{ for all } v \in X \}.$$  

The Clarke derivative of $f$ at a point $x$ in the direction $v$ is given by

$$f^c(x; v) := \limsup_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{f(y + tv) - f(y)}{t},$$

while the Clarke subdifferential $\partial_c f$ is given by

$$\partial_c f(x) := \{ x^* \in X^* | \langle x^*, v \rangle \leq f^c (x; v) \text{ for all } v \in X \}.$$  

Note that $f^c(x; v)$ is upper semicontinuous as a function of $(x, v)$. Being nonempty and weak*-compact (convex) valued, the multifunction $\partial_c f : A \to 2^X^*$ is norm-to-weak* upper semicontinuous. Detailed properties about Dini and Clarke subdifferentials can be found in [5], which is a bible of sorts for nonsmooth analysts.
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Let us recall that a Lipschitz function is Clarke regular at a point \( x \) if its classical right-hand Dini derivative at the point \( x \) given by

\[
f'(x; v) := \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t},
\]
exists and equals the Clarke derivative at the point. In this case we write \( \partial := \partial_c = \partial_- \).

2. Construction

We state and prove our core result:

**Theorem 2.1 (Maximal Clarke subdifferential [3, 2]).** Let \( X \) be an arbitrary real Banach space. There exists a non-expansive function \( f : X \to \mathbb{R} \) such that

\[
\partial_c f(x) = B_{X^*} \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in X,
\]

where \( B_{X^*} \) is the closed unit ball in the dual space.

**Proof.** We start the proof with a ‘seeding’ of open sets in \( X \).

**Base sets.** Let \( \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) be a collection of dense open subsets of \( X \) such that:

(i) Each \( U_n \) is a disjoint union of open norm balls with radius \( r_1 < 1/n \); say \( U_n = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} B(x_\gamma; r_\gamma) \).

(ii) The collection is nested, that is, \( U_{n+1} \subset U_n \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

(iii) The centres of the balls at each level, \( \Gamma_n \), are not contained at the next level: for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \gamma \in \Gamma_n \), \( x_\gamma \notin U_{n+1} \).

A standard maximality argument shows these requirements are easily fulfilled — if hard to prescribe more concretely except in a few polyhedral norms — at level \( n \), we replace each \( B(x_\gamma; r_\gamma) \) by a maximal disjoint union of open balls of radius less than \( 1/(n+1) \) lying in \( B(x_\gamma; r_\gamma) \setminus \{x_\gamma\} \).

**Remark 1.** Since separable metric spaces are Lindelöf, the collection is countable when \( X \) is separable.

The ‘seeding’ is in the spirit of a simpler construction used, among others, by Katriel [10] to answer a question in [7], as a way of constructing surprising or pathological Lipschitz functions from familiar benign ones (see [1] and the references therein).

From this point on the process is entirely constructive, but it seems difficult to make the ‘seeding’ equally so — even in two-dimensional space.

**Initial steps.** Begin with \( f_0, g_0 \) defined by \( f_0(x) := 0 \) and \( g_0(x) := -1 \). We now give \( f_0 \) some ‘dimples’ by defining

\[
f_1(x) := \begin{cases} 
 f_0(x) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)(\|x - x_\gamma\| - r_\gamma), & \text{if } x \in B(x_\gamma; r_\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma_1; \\
 f_0(x), & \text{if } x \notin U_1.
\end{cases}
\]

Also, setting \( \delta_1 := r_\gamma \) at this first iteration, define

\[
g_1(x) := \begin{cases} 
 f_1(x) - \|x - x_\gamma\|^2, & \text{if } x \in B(x_\gamma; \delta_\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma_1; \\
 g_0(x), & \text{if } x \notin U_1.
\end{cases}
\]

Note that \( f_1 \) is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant \( 1/2 \) while \( g_1 \) is Lipschitz on \( U_1 \) with constant not exceeding \( 3/2 \) as in Figure 1. Also note that

\[
g_1(x) \leq f_1(x),
\]

with equality occurring if and only if \( x = x_\gamma \) for some \( \gamma \in \Gamma_1 \).
Observe that $f_1$ and $g_1$ are both regular at each $x_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma_1$. Further, if $h_1$ is any function such that $g_1(x) \leq h_1(x) \leq f_1(x)$ in a neighbourhood of $x_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma_1$, then the lower right-hand derivative of $h_1$ exists at $x_\gamma$ and agrees with those of $f_1$ and $g_1$. All of this leads to the conclusion that

$$g_1(x) \leq h_1(x) \leq f_1(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in U_1 \Rightarrow \partial_- h_1(x_\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} B_{x_\gamma}.$$  

when $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$.

**Induction steps.** Suppose $f_k, g_k$ have been constructed so that $f_k$ is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant $(1 - 1/2^k)$ and $g_k$ is locally Lipschitz on $U_k$. Moreover, we have

$$-1 \leq g_{k-1}(x) \leq g_k(x) \leq f_k(x) \leq f_{k-1}(x) \leq 0$$  

with equality of $f_k$ and $g_k$ occurring if and only if $x = x_\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_i, i \leq k$.

We proceed to add more (smaller but steeper) ‘dimples’ to $f_k$. Define $f_{k+1}$ and $g_{k+1}$ as follows. Given any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{k+1}$, since $\gamma \not\in \bigcup_{i<k} \Gamma_i$, by the $(1 - 1/2^k)$-Lipschitzness of $f_k$ we can choose an $s_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$f_k(x_\gamma) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right)(\norm{x - x_\gamma} - s_\gamma) > f_k(x)$$  

for all $\norm{x - x_\gamma} > r_\gamma$. Then by the local continuity of $f_k, g_k$ and the norm, we may select $\delta_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$f_k(x) > f_k(x_\gamma) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right)(\norm{x - x_\gamma} - s_\gamma) > g_k(x)$$  

for all $\norm{x - x_\gamma} \leq \delta_\gamma$. Indeed, any positive constant $s_\gamma$ less than $\min\{f_k(x_\gamma) - g_k(x_\gamma), r_\gamma/2^{k+1}\}$ will work. The first term is positive because the centres of balls at each level are excluded at the next level. The second is chosen with the knowledge that the ‘dimple’ is $1/2^{k+1}$ steeper than the function it is dimpling and ensures that (2.3) holds.

Set

$$f_{k+1}(x) := \begin{cases} 
\min\{f_k(x), f_k(x_\gamma) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\right)(\norm{x - x_\gamma} - s_\gamma)\}, & x \in B(x_\gamma, r_\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma_{k+1}; \\
\min\{f_k(x), f_{k+1}(x)\} - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \norm{x - x_\gamma}^2, & x \not\in U_{k+1}.
\end{cases}$$

We also create

$$g_{k+1}(x) := \begin{cases} 
\max\{g_k(x), g_k(x_\gamma) - \delta_\gamma^2 - \delta_\gamma - \norm{x - x_\gamma}\}, & x \in B(x_\gamma, \delta_\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma_{k+1}; \\
\max\{g_k(x), f_{k+1}(x) - \delta_\gamma^2 + \delta_\gamma - \norm{x - x_\gamma}\}, & x \not\in U_{k+1}.
\end{cases}$$
Observe that
\[ (2.5) \quad -1 \leq g_k(x) \leq g_{k+1}(x) \leq f_{k+1}(x) \leq f_k(x) \leq 0 \]
with \( g_{k+1}(x) = f_{k+1}(x) \) if and only if \( x = x_{\gamma} \) for some \( \gamma \in \Gamma_i, i \leq k + 1 \).

Note also that \( f_{k+1} \) and \( g_{k+1} \) are regular at each \( x_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{k+1} \). Further, if \( h_{k+1} \) is any function such that \( g_{k+1}(x) \leq h_{k+1}(x) \leq f_{k+1}(x) \) in a neighbourhood of \( x_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma_{k+1} \), then the right-hand Dini derivative of \( h_{k+1} \) exists at \( x_{\gamma} \) and agrees with those of \( f_{k+1} \) and \( g_{k+1} \). All of this leads to the conclusion that
\[ g_{k+1}(x) \leq h_{k+1}(x) \leq f_{k+1}(x) \quad \forall x \in U_{k+1} \Rightarrow \partial_- h_{k+1}(x_{\gamma}) = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \right) B_X^* \]
when \( \gamma \in \Gamma_{k+1} \), where again \( B_X^* \) is the closed unit ball in the dual space.

**The limiting step.** Let \( f \) be the pointwise limit of the \( f_k \), which limit exists by (2.5) since \( f_k(x) \) is decreasing and bounded below. Clearly \( f \) is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant no greater than one, as each \( f_k \) is. Also, (2.5) implies that \( g_k \leq f \leq f_k \) for each \( k \). Hence if \( \gamma_k \in \Gamma_k \), we conclude that
\[ (2.6) \quad \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^k} \right) B_X^* \subseteq \partial_- f(x_{\gamma_k}) \subseteq B_X^* \quad \forall x \in X, \text{ as is required.} \]

**Remark 2.** We note that the subdifferential is maximal since, by the Clarke Mean-value theorem \([5]\), the Clarke subdifferential of any non-expansive function must lie in the unit ball. Conversely, any function satisfying (2.8) is necessarily non-expansive.

We also note that in any separable Banach space Rademacher’s theorem implies that, for any function satisfying (2.8) and any Haar null set \( \Omega \), one must have
\[ (2.7) \quad \operatorname{conv}^* \{ \nabla f(x_n) \overset{\text{w}^*}{\to} x^* : x_n \to x, x_n \notin \Omega \} = B_X^* \quad \forall x \in X, \]
where \( \nabla f \) denotes the Gâteaux derivative of \( f \).

In particular, when the dual ball is strictly convex this means that the points where the gradient exists and \( \text{w}^* \)-cluster at any prescribed point of the dual sphere are non-null in every neighbourhood of every point in the space.

An inspection of the proof of equation (2.6) of Theorem 2.1 shows that we have actually proven more. Recall that the approximate subdifferential \([7, 8, 9, 11]\) of a Lipschitz function can be defined by
\[ \partial_a f(x) := \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \partial_- f(B(x, \varepsilon))^*, \]
and satisfies \( \operatorname{conv}^* \partial_a f(x) = \partial_c f(x) \).

**Theorem 2.2** (Maximal approximate subdifferential \([3, 2]\)). Let \( X \) be an arbitrary real Banach space. There exists a non-expansive function \( f : X \to \mathbb{R} \) such that
\[ (2.8) \quad \partial^* f(x) = B_X^* \quad \forall x \in X, \]
where \( B_X^* \) is the closed unit ball in the dual space.
REMARK 3. Theorem 2.2 had previously only been proven with restrictions on the class of Banach spaces or on the rotundity properties of the norm [3, 2].

We emphasize that when $\partial_{\alpha} f(x) = B_{X^*}$, the approximate subdifferential encodes no positive information other than the fact that $f$ is non-expansive.

It should be apparent that the use of the norm could be varied and extended to allow for the limiting approximate subdifferential to take on more exotic forms as in [1].
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