

**THE PROCESS OF RESPONSE OF MENTAL HEALTH
NURSES WHO HAVE EXPERIENCED ASSAULTS BY
THEIR PATIENTS: A GROUNDED THEORY STUDY
CONDUCTED IN MENTAL HEALTH INPATIENT
SETTINGS.**

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Nursing at the University
of Newcastle

Charles Harmon, RN, BHSc (Nursing), M.N.

December 2009.

I hereby certify that this thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.*

*Unless an Embargo has been approved for a determined period.

Charles Harmon

Acknowledgements

The work described in this thesis has been supported by a number of people who have provided me with information, advice and encouragement.

Professor Michael Hazelton provided valuable counsel as the principal supervisor for this study. Professor Hazelton demonstrated a generosity of spirit in affording me his time and expertise in discussing the planning and conduct of the study as well as the development of the thesis. His questioning and constructive criticism has enhanced my learning experiences during my doctoral studies.

Dr. Ashley Kable graciously accepted the role of co-supervisor at a time when the thesis was taking shape and was adept at providing a balance of insightful comments and steadfast encouragement. Dr. Kable encouraged me to re-examine what I had achieved in the study and her fresh sense of perspective is greatly valued.

Thanks must go to the many nurses and patients who permitted me to observe them during the observational phase of the study. In particular, I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge the sixteen nurses who became participants during the interview phase of the study and subsequently gave me their time and generously shared their experiences with me.

Finally special thanks are due to my wife, Karen, and my children Alexandra, Timothy and Nicholas for their steadfast support and understanding of my ambition to complete this thesis. Their love and energy has sustained me.

Charles Harmon

Newcastle, AUSTRALIA

September 2010.

Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
List of figures and tables	xi
Abstract	xii
Key to abbreviations and conventions employed in the data transcriptions	xiv
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW OF THE THESIS	1
1. BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY	1
1.1 Violence in health care settings	1
2. PURPOSE OF THE STUDY	2
3. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE STUDY	2
4. RESEARCH QUESTIONS	4
5. RESEARCH APPROACH	5
5.1 Analysis of the data	6
5.2 Personal beliefs underlying the study	7
5.3 Definitions of terms	8
6. OVERVIEW OF THE CHAPTERS	9
CHAPTER TWO: PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	12
1. INTRODUCTION	12
2. ASSAULTS UPON MENTAL HEALTH NURSES IN PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS	12
2.1 Historical perspective: The study of violence in psychiatric care facilities prior to 1980	13
2.2 The study of patient assaults in the 1980s	14
2.3 Underreporting of patient assaults in US psychiatric hospitals	16

2.4	Increases in reported assaults against nurses in psychiatric hospitals.....	18
2.5	Interpreting data from studies on violence in health care facilities.....	19
3.	FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH AGGRESSIVE INCIDENTS IN PSYCHIATRIC HOSPITALS	20
4.	ASSAULTS UPON STAFF AS AN OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUE.....	24
4.1	Aggression in mental health settings in the Australian context	26
4.2	Trends in violent crime statistics, including assaults, in Australian society	29
5.	ESTIMATING THE FINANCIAL COST OF WORKPLACE VIOLENCE ...	31
6.	NURSES' ATTITUDES TO PATIENT VIOLENCE	33
6.1	Nurses' attitudes towards assaulted colleagues	34
7.	CONCLUSION	35
	CHAPTER THREE: METHOD OF ENQUIRY	36
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	36
2.	RATIONALE FOR USING MIXED METHODS OF ENQUIRY IN THIS STUDY	36
3.	RATIONALE FOR USING GROUNDED THEORY METHOD IN THE PRESENT STUDY	37
4.	RESEARCH METHODS USED TO STUDY SOCIAL PHENOMENA.....	38
4.1	The emergence of qualitative research methods	39
4.2	Symbolic interactionism as a basis for grounded theory.....	41
5.	GROUNDED THEORY	42
5.1	Overview of the method.....	42
5.2	Types of theory produced by grounded theory research	43

5.3 Further explanation of concepts related to grounded theory.....	44
5.4 Literature as a source of data	48
5.5 <i>Glaserian</i> and <i>Straussian</i> versions of grounded theory method	49
5.6 Circumstances leading to the use of the <i>Glaserian</i> approach in this study.....	51
5.7 Ensuring rigour in grounded theory research.....	52
5.8 Methodological limitations to grounded theory.....	53
6. CONCLUSION.....	54
CHAPTER FOUR: METHOD OF ENQUIRY: PROCEDURES.....	55
1. INTRODUCTION.....	55
2. THE STUDY SETTINGS.....	55
3. GAINING ENTRY AND RECRUITING STUDY PARTICIPANTS	62
3.1 Ethics committee approval.....	62
3.2 Conduct of the non-participant observation phase.....	62
3.3. Gaining access to the study environment and participants during Phase Two of the study	67
3.4 Journals, field notes and memos	75
3.5 Protecting the confidentiality of participants	75
4. CONCLUSION.....	76
CHAPTER FIVE: DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS.....	77
1. INTRODUCTION.....	77
2. PHASE ONE.....	77
2.1 Management of the data:.....	77
2.2 Coding processes used to analyse data.....	79

3.	PHASE TWO.....	86
3.1	Management of the data.....	86
3.2	Literature as a source of data	87
3.3	Phase Two, interview one data	87
3.4	Phase Two, interview two data	94
3.5	Phase Two, interview three data	102
3.6	Regarding the level of support offered to participants by nursing administrators	109
3.7	Identifying the core category or process	109
3.8	Diagramming and the Phase Two data	110
4.	CONCLUSION.....	110
	CHAPTER SIX: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS.....	112
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	112
2.	DISCUSSION OF PHASE ONE FINDINGS:.....	113
2.1	Introduction.....	113
2.2	An exploration of mental health nurses’ work environment and their lack of engagement with their patients	113
2.3	Stress experienced by mental health nurses working in acute inpatient settings	116
2.4	The status of mental health nurses within the multidisciplinary team.....	118
2.5	Mental health nurses and institutionalism.....	120
2.6	Phase One findings: Conclusion	123
3.	DISCUSSION OF PHASE TWO FINDINGS.....	123

3.1	Introduction.....	123
3.2	The effects of patient-initiated assaults upon nurses	125
3.3	The short-term effects of patient assault upon staff working in mental health units.....	126
3.4	The long-term effects of patient assault upon staff working in mental health units.....	146
3.5	Conclusion regarding Phase Two findings	154
4	PROFESSIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF PATIENT ASSAULT.....	154
5.	DIMINISHING THE DISTRESS EXPERIENCED BY VICTIMS OF ASSAULT.....	157
5.1	The role of <i>actual</i> and <i>perceived</i> support for victims of assault.....	157
5.2	Work-related benefits to providing support for nurse victims of assault.....	159
5.3	Policy directions in respect of violent incidents in NSW health facilities.....	160
5.4	Some observations about the levels of support offered to patients and distressed nurses in acute mental health settings	162
5.5	Educating stakeholders about the need for victim support following patient assaults	163
5.6	Providing support via peer support groups and clinical supervision	164
6.	CONCLUSION.....	168
	CHAPTER SEVEN: FINAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....	171
1.	INTRODUCTION.....	171
2.	A SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	171
3.	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY.....	173
4.	SIGNIFICANCE OF THE FINDINGS.....	176

5. HOW COULD THINGS BE DIFFERENT? SUGGESTIONS FOR PRACTICE.....	177
5.1 Recommendations about the education of inpatient mental health nurses regarding the potential effects of patient assaults	178
5.2 Recommendations about the provision of support groups and clinical supervision for assaulted mental health nurses	180
6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH.....	181
7. CONCLUDING COMMENTS.....	182
References	184
Appendix A: Demographic data form.....	216
Appendix B: Patient assault response questionnaire.....	219
Appendix C: Perceived stress scale.....	223
Appendix D: Information sheet (Phase One)	225
Appendix E: CONSENT FORM- Observation of nurses in a mental health unit (Phase One).....	227
Appendix F: Advertisement (Phase One)	228
Appendix G: Example of sociogram.....	229
Appendix H: Advertisement (Phase Two)	230
Appendix I: Information sheet: participant’s copy (Phase Two)	231
Appendix J: CONSENT FORM- Interviews with assaulted nurses (Phase Two)	233
Appendix K: Example of a ‘formulation’ prepared prior to the second interview (Phase Two).....	234
Appendix L: Example of a ‘formulation’ prepared prior to the third interview (Phase Two).....	235
Appendix M: Example of field notes from Phase One of the study	236
Appendix N: Examples of initial codes, selective codes and related theoretical codes with examples of occurrence in the data set: Phase One of the study.....	240
Appendix O: Examples of initial codes, selective codes and related theoretical codes with examples of occurrence in the data set: Phase Two, interview two of the study ..	243

Appendix P: Examples of initial codes, selective codes and related theoretical codes with examples of occurrence in the data set: Phase Two, interview three of the study 244

APPENDIX Q: Table 10: Summary of participants' responses to the assault response questionnaire (n=16)245

Appendix R: DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA FOR Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2000. p. 467-468)..... 248

List of figures and tables

Figure 1: Depiction of nursing levels of process in relation to the Phase One core category: Responding to others in an ad hoc manner.....	86
Figure 2: Reported period of time taken to recover from assault at interview two: Phase Two participants (n=16).....	97
Figure 3: Depiction of churning anxiety phase of recovery: Phase Two participants.	102
Figure 4: Comparison of period of time reported for recovery from major effects of assault at interview two and interview three: Phase Two of the study (n=16).....	104
Figure 5: Depiction of reintegration phase of recovery: Phase Two participants.	108
Figure 6: Depiction of the recovery trajectory for Phase Two participants	111
Table 1: Age distribution of Phase Two participants in years (n=16)	88
Table 2: Total years of nursing experience of Phase Two participants (n=16).....	88
Table 3: Total years of mental health nursing experience of Phase Two participants (n=16)	89
Table 4: Summary of educational qualifications attained by Phase Two participants (n=16).....	89
Table 5: Number of previous patient assaults experienced by Phase Two participants (n=16).....	90
Table 6: Type of assault experienced by Phase Two participants (n=16).....	92
Table 7: Type of injury experienced by Phase Two participants (n=16)	92
Table 8: Level of threat perceived by Phase Two participants during their assault (n=16).....	93
Table 9: Responses to assault reported on the ARQ by Phase Two participants during their first interview (n=16).....	95
Table 10: (Appendix Q) Summary of participants' responses to the assault response questionnaire (n=16)	245
Table 11: Comparison of reported time taken to recover from the major effects of assault at interview two and interview three by four participants: Phase Two of the study	103

Abstract

This study examines the experience of inpatient mental health nurses who have been assaulted by their patients. The study was conducted in two phases in the inpatient units of a mental health service in regional New South Wales, Australia. Grounded theory method was used to analyse the data collected during Phase One in which the researcher conducted non-participant observation of three units. This enabled the researcher to explore the nurses' working environment and develop a theory which explained the way in which inpatient mental health nurses interacted with their patients in the provision of care. Phase One findings provided contextual information which facilitated the understanding of subsequent data collected during Phase Two. Mixed methods were used during Phase Two of the study in which sixteen recently assaulted nurse were interviewed within three weeks of their assault and then on two subsequent occasions at three months and six months post-assault. None of the nurses experienced lasting physical trauma as a result of their assault however nine reported psychological effects lasting from several weeks to several months. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics and grounded theory method. The main purpose of this study was to develop a substantive theory which explained the process of response and, by extension, recovery of the mental health nurses to the experience of assault by a patient.

Data analysis during Phase One of the study revealed that the mental health nurses were constantly dealing with the problem of a chaotic work environment and a preoccupation with ensuring the smooth operation of the unit which was done in preference to the provision of therapeutic nursing care. The emergent core category of nurses responding to others in an ad hoc manner explained the tendency of the nurses to constantly deal with the needs of others rather than actively plan patient care. Data analysis during Phase Two of the study revealed that participants tended to use passive coping strategies in the aftermath of their assault by a patient. Whilst some participants were able to forget about their assault and get on with their lives, however, others who were more severely affected by their assault developed a coping pattern characterised by *churning anxiety* which featured assault reminders, passive coping strategies, assault response mediators (which referred to the availability of support from peers and nursing

administrators) and a sense of futility related to the belief about the inevitability of workplace assault. The *churning anxiety* phase of recovery was followed by a later *reintegration* phase in which the participants adopted a more active coping style accompanied by a sense of residual vulnerability and ongoing futility. An important finding was that the participants reported that they were compromised in their ability to effectively engage with patients as they recovered from their assault. The basic social process emerging from the data for the severely affected assaulted nurses was labelled moving from passive to active coping strategies in the context of the nurses overcoming futility focused about the assault.

The significance of this study is that the nurses who experienced more severe post-assault responses had a recovery that was completed in stages as they passed from the churning anxiety phase to the reintegration phase and this finding adds to the understanding of the complex phenomenon of recovery after assault. The finding also has occupational health and safety implications for employers as they assist mental health nurses to recover from the effects of patient assault. It is concluded that, with further research, interventions might be tailored to assist nurses in the recovery process depending upon their phase of recovery. This may enable assaulted nurses to decrease their distress and enable them to more effectively fulfil their professional role by engaging with their patients.

Key to abbreviations and conventions employed in the data transcriptions

In the presentation of transcript material in this thesis the following abbreviations and conventions have been used.

Names: all names used in reference to study participants (e.g. Bruce, Nigel, Krystal, etc.) are pseudonyms or initials (e.g. 'A' for Anne). Other patients and staff referred to in the thesis have been labelled Patient or Staff and numbered (e.g. Patient #3).

The initial 'C': refers to the researcher (as occurs in Chapter 7, p. 166).

The initial 'p': refers to pages.

[Bold letters in brackets]: represent the researcher's coding of the data.

...: signifies a pause in the original interview.