

High Fidelity Simulation of Future Low Emissions Stationary Electricity Generation Scenarios

A thesis submitted to the University of Newcastle in fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Master of Philosophy

By
Scott N. George B. Computer Science



*Priority Research
Centre for Energy*



Priority Research Centre for Energy
Discipline of Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering & Built Environment
THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE
Callaghan NSW 2308
Australia
C9806120@uon.edu.au
May 2011

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

*This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library**, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.*

*****Unless an Embargo has been approved for a determined period.***

Supervisors

Professor Behdad Moghtaderi

Priority Research Centre for Energy,
Discipline of Chemical Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering and the Built Environment
School of Engineering,
The University of Newcastle,
University Drive, Callaghan,
NSW 2308, Australia.
Ph: +61 2 49285 4411
Fax: +61 2 4921 6893

Professor Jesse Jin

Chair of Information Technology
Faculty of Science and Information Technology,
School of Design Communication and IT,
The University of Newcastle,
University Drive, Callaghan,
NSW 2308, Australia.
Ph: +61 2 4985 4505
Fax: +61 2 4921 5896

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my Supervisors, Professor Behdad Moghtaderi & Professor Jesse Jin, who also contributed to ensure this thesis was produced to high quality and submitted.

Without the input from Mr Ben Jones and Dr Iain Macgill, this thesis would never have been started & I'd like to thank them both for their ongoing input.

I would also like to thank Matt Skerritt for bouncing ideas off & help with Unix script programming and Aaron Scott from Newcastle University's Academic & Research Computing Services team for his help setting up my access to the Grid Computing facility so many simulations can be run concurrently. This helped the development and debugging process enormously.

I would also like to thank my direct managers at the Woolworths store I worked at for their rostering flexibility and understanding when I needed time off.

Finally, I would like to thank my Mum & Dad, who without their generous support, this thesis could not have been written.

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	3
SUPERVISORS.....	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	7
NOMENCLATURE	18
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.	20
ABSTRACT.....	21
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	22
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	22
1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	23
1.3 PROJECT AIMS & OBJECTIVES	25
1.4 PROJECT SCOPE.....	27
1.5 STRUCTURE OF THESIS	28
CHAPTER 2 TECHNICAL BACKGROUND	30
2.1 ELECTRICITY NETWORK OPERATION.....	30
2.1.1 <i>Australia's National Electricity Market</i>	30
2.2 EXISTING & PROPOSED ELECTRICITY GENERATION TECHNOLOGY	31
2.2.1 <i>Coal Fired Generation & Gas Thermal</i>	32
2.2.2 <i>Gas Turbines</i>	32
2.2.3 <i>Geothermal</i>	33
2.2.4 <i>Hydro-Electric</i>	34
2.2.5 <i>Case Study – Snowy Hydro</i>	34
2.2.6 <i>Solar Thermal</i>	36
2.2.7 <i>Solar Thermal Case Study - Liddell</i>	39
2.2.8 <i>Wind Turbines</i>	43
2.2.9 <i>Wind Turbine Case Study - Starfish Hill</i>	43
2.2.10 <i>Photovoltaics</i>	44
2.2.11 <i>Energy Storage</i>	45
2.2.12 <i>Demand Side Management</i>	45
2.2.13 <i>Carbon Capture & Storage (CCS)</i>	46
2.2.14 <i>Biomass Fuels</i>	47
2.3 EMISSIONS REDUCTION ANALYSIS OF ELECTRICITY NETWORKS	48
2.3.1 <i>Formal statement of the problem</i>	48
2.3.2 <i>The Knapsack Problem</i>	50
2.3.3 <i>Knapsack problem tailored for emissions reduction optimisation</i>	57
CHAPTER 3 LITERATURE REVIEW	58
3.1 INTRODUCTION	58
3.2 NEED TO MOVE AWAY FROM FOSSIL-FUEL BASED GENERATION	58
3.2.1 <i>Stern Review</i>	59
3.2.2 <i>CSIRO – Heat is on</i>	59
3.2.3 <i>Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States</i>	59
3.2.4 <i>BP Statistical Review of world energy.</i>	60

3.3	PROPOSED SOLUTIONS IN AUSTRALIA	61
3.3.1	<i>Feed In Tariffs</i>	62
3.3.2	<i>Emissions Trading</i>	62
3.3.3	<i>Carbon Tax</i>	63
3.3.4	<i>Renewable Energy Target</i>	63
3.4	EXISTING MODELS	63
3.4.1	<i>Treasury (Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme)</i>	64
3.4.2	<i>ROAM</i>	65
3.4.3	<i>CSIRO</i>	66
3.4.4	<i>Garnaut Review</i>	66
3.4.5	<i>Frontier Economics – WHIRLYGIG</i>	67
3.5	HISTORICAL WEATHER DATA	68
CHAPTER 4 NUMERICAL MODELS AND TECHNIQUES		69
4.1	TESTING ENVIRONMENT	69
4.2	SIMULATION INTRODUCTION.....	69
4.3	OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING INTRODUCTION	70
4.4	SIMULATION OVERVIEW	72
4.5	SIMULATION IN DETAIL	72
4.5.1	<i>Simulation Controller</i>	72
4.5.2	<i>Master Substation</i>	73
4.5.3	<i>System operating Frequency Calculation</i>	73
4.5.4	<i>Substation</i>	74
4.5.5	<i>Demand File Reader</i>	74
4.5.6	<i>Dispatcher</i>	75
4.5.7	<i>Least Cost Dispatching</i>	76
4.5.8	<i>Enhanced Intermittent Dispatching</i>	76
4.5.9	<i>Communications Network</i>	77
4.5.10	<i>Random Number Generation</i>	80
4.5.11	<i>Sites</i>	80
4.5.12	<i>Weather Generator</i>	82
4.6	SITECONTROLLERS	82
4.6.1	<i>Thermal</i>	82
4.6.2	<i>Peaking</i>	83
4.6.3	<i>Hydro-Electric</i>	83
4.6.4	<i>Pump</i>	84
4.6.5	<i>Solar Thermal</i>	84
4.6.6	<i>WindFarm_Simple</i>	85
4.6.7	<i>Wind Farm_Momentum</i>	85
4.7	GENERATOR SIMULATION.....	86
4.7.1	<i>Turbine_Linear</i>	86
4.7.2	<i>Turbine_Momentum</i>	88
4.7.3	<i>Hydro-Electric</i>	92
4.7.4	<i>Solar Thermal</i>	94
4.7.5	<i>Wind Turbine- Simple</i>	96
4.7.6	<i>Wind Turbine - Momentum</i>	97
4.8	SIMULATED GENERATORS	98
4.8.1	<i>Coal Turbine 500 MW</i>	98

4.8.2	Solar Thermal 100 MW turbine.....	100
4.8.3	Solar Thermal 100 MW – SM 2.5 / 1hr storage.....	101
4.8.4	Solar Thermal 400 MW – SM 2.5 / 1hr storage.....	102
4.8.5	Solar Thermal 400 MW – SM 2.5 / 4 hr storage.....	103
4.8.6	Solar Thermal 400 MW – SM 2.5 / 24hrs storage	104
4.8.7	Solar Thermal 400 MW – SM 2.5 / 72 hrs. storage	104
4.8.8	Solar Thermal 400 MW – SM 5 / 72 hrs storage	105
4.8.9	Wind Turbine 2 MWS	106
4.8.10	OCGT.....	107
4.8.11	Combined Cycle Turbines	109
4.8.12	Hydro-Electric Turbines.....	110
4.8.13	Geothermal Turbines.....	113
4.8.14	Geothermal 200MW.....	113
4.8.15	Economic Comparison of all Generation options.....	113
4.9	SIMULATED WORLD OVERVIEW	115
4.9.1	Historical system demand data	116
4.9.2	Historical weather data	116
4.9.3	Wind data synthesis.....	121
4.9.4	Solar generation sites.....	121
4.9.5	Solar data synthesis	123
4.9.6	Hydro-electric generation sites.....	123
4.9.7	Other generation type sites	124
4.10	COMMON AUGMENTATION SCENARIOS	124
4.10.1	Overview	124
4.10.2	Scenario Naming Structure	125
4.10.3	Wind Augmentation Scenarios	126
4.10.4	Solar Augmentation Scenarios.....	128
4.10.5	Geothermal Augmentation	132
CHAPTER 5 NOTABLE HISTORICAL WEATHER EVENTS.....		134
5.1	METHODOLOGY FOR DETERMINING NOTABLE EVENTS.....	134
5.2	NOTABLE SOLAR WEATHER EVENTS.....	134
5.2.1	4 th -9 th January.....	135
5.2.2	26 th - 29 th September	135
5.3	NOTABLE WIND WEATHER EVENTS	136
5.3.1	21 st December	137
5.3.2	10 th June.....	140
5.3.3	19 th May	142
CHAPTER 6 MIXED GENERATOR NETWORK.....		144
6.1	BASELINE SYSTEM COMPOSITION	144
6.1.1	Baseline System Performance	145
6.2	GEOTHERMAL AUGMENTATION	150
6.3	SOLAR THERMAL AUGMENTATION	160
6.4	WIND AUGMENTATION	185
6.4.1	Wind Scenario 06 – EID / LCD comparison.....	202
6.4.2	Wind Scenario Summary	204
6.5	PERFORMANCE WITH COAL TURBINES REMOVED	205

6.5.1	<i>Geothermal Scenario 03 – (Coal Turbines Removed)</i>	206
6.5.2	<i>Wind Scenario 06 - LCD (Coal Turbines Removed)</i>	209
6.5.3	<i>Solar thermal Scenario 05 (Coal turbines removed)</i>	211
6.5.4	<i>Solar thermal Scenario 06 (Coal turbines removed)</i>	213
CHAPTER 7	BLACK COAL	215
7.1	BASELINE SYSTEM COMPOSITION	215
7.1.1	<i>Baseline System Performance</i>	215
7.1.2	<i>Geothermal Augmentation</i>	219
7.1.3	<i>Wind Augmentation</i>	225
7.1.4	<i>Solar thermal Augmentation</i>	230
CHAPTER 8	CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	233
8.1	SUMMARY OF MAJOR FINDINGS	233
8.1.1	<i>Simulation is a valuable tool to examine the best augmentation options</i>	233
8.1.2	<i>Adding emissions free generation results in non-linear emissions reductions</i>	234
8.1.3	<i>Simulations should use appropriate timeframes</i>	235
8.1.4	<i>Currently cost effective reduction options may not scale well</i>	236
8.1.5	<i>There may be times of little or no renewable generation contribution</i>	236
8.1.6	<i>Alternate dispatching methods may result in enhanced emissions reduction</i>	237
8.2	DISCUSSION OF MAJOR FINDINGS & CONCLUSIONS	237
	<i>Possible future market inefficiencies</i>	241
8.3	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	241
8.3.1	<i>Expansion of Existing Simulation Software</i>	241
8.3.2	<i>Run further simulations over a longer timeframes to rigorously analyse all viable upgrade options to determine optimal or near-optimal responses.</i>	243
8.3.3	<i>Assess if there are areas where R&D is not currently being done, but will need to be done.</i>	244
8.4	CONCLUDING STATEMENT	244
REFERENCES		246

Index of Tables

Table 1: Sample Knapsack problem objects.	51
Table 2: Solving the Sample Knapsack problem using least weight algorithm	51
Table 3: Solving the Sample Knapsack problem using most weight algorithm	52
Table 4: Solving the Sample Knapsack problem using best value algorithm	52
Table 5: Solving the Sample Knapsack problem optimally	53
Table 6: Base500type generator emissions profile	99
Table 7: SolarThermal100 collector, decay and storage parameters	101
Table 8: SolarThermal100 Generator bidding regime	102
Table 9: SolarThermal 400MW - SM2.5 / 4hr collector, decay and storage parameters	103

Table 10: SolarThermal 400MW - SM2.5 / 24hr collector, decay and storage parameters	104
Table 11: SolarThermal 400MW - SM2.5 / 72hr collector, decay and storage parameters	105
Table 12: SolarThermal 400MW - SM5 / 72hr collector, decay and storage parameters	106
Table 13: Wind Turbine 2MWS output power curve (wind speed vs output)	106
Table 14: OCGT100 turbine momentum limits.	107
Table 15: OCGT100 Emissions output profile	109
Table 16: CCGT200 Emissions output profile.....	110
Table 17: Hydro250 turbine momentum limits.....	111
Table 18: Generator bidding regime summary	114
Table 19: Annual distribution of mixture baseline operating frequency. Note the network spent 183 seconds where there was a 5% undergeneration and 74618 with a 5% oversupply.	146
Table 20: Output and emissions by site for mixed network baseline scenario (LMIX-NULL).....	147
Table 21: Annual output and emissions by site for LMIX-GEO-00	150
Table 22: Annual output and emissions by site for LMIX-GEO-01	152
Table 23: Annual output and emissions by site for LMIX-GEO-02	154
Table 24: Annual output and emissions by site for LMIX-GEO-03	156
Table 25: Shows the number of seconds each scenario spends at low frequencies	158
Table 26: Geothermal scenario emissions summary.	159
Table 27: Output and emissions by site for SolarThermal Scenario 00	160
Table 28: Output and emissions by site for SolarThermal Scenario 01	164
Table 29: Output and emissions by site for SolarThermal Scenario 02	168
Table 30: Output and emissions by site for SolarThermal Scenario 03	171
Table 31: Output and emissions by site for SolarThermal Scenario 04	174
Table 32: Output and emissions by site for SolarThermal Scenario 05	177
Table 33: Output and emissions by site for Solar Thermal Scenario 06.....	180
Table 34: Solar Thermal scenario stability summary.	183
Table 35: Solar Thermal scenario emissions summary.	184
Table 36: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 00 -LCD.....	186
Table 37: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 01 -LCD.....	188
Table 38: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 02 -LCD.....	190
Table 39: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 03 -LCD.....	192
Table 40: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 04 -LCD.....	194
Table 41: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 05 -LCD.....	196
Table 42: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 06 -LCD.....	198
Table 43: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 06 -EID	200
Table 44: Wind scenario stability comparison	204
Table 45: Wind scenario emissions summary.	205
Table 46: Output and emissions by site for Geothermal Scenario 03 - coal turbine removed.....	207
Table 47: Output and emissions by site for Wind Scenario 06 –LCD with coal turbine removed.....	209
Table 48: Output and emissions by site for Solar Thermal Scenario 05 with coal turbine removed.....	211

Table 49: Output and emissions by site for Solar Thermal Scenario 06 with coal turbine removed.....	213
Table 50: Output and emissions by site for LCOAL-NULL	216
Table 51: LCOAL-NULL system frequency values	217
Table 52: Output and emissions by site for LCOAL-GEO-03.....	219
Table 53: Output and emissions by site for LCOAL1-GEO-03.....	221
Table 54: Output and emissions by site for LCOAL2-GEO-03.....	223
Table 55: Output and emissions by site for LCOAL-WIND-06	225
Table 56: Output and emissions by site for ECOAL-WIND-06	227
Table 57: Output and emissions by site for LCOAL-SOLAR-05.....	231
Table 58: shows the Mixture Baseline scenario systems annual operating frequency distribution.	254
Table 59: Mixture Baseline with Geothermal scenario 00 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution.	255
Table 60: Mixture Baseline with Geothermal scenario 01 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution.	255
Table 61: Mixture Baseline with Geothermal scenario 02 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	256
Table 62: Mixture Baseline with Geothermal scenario 03 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	257
Table 63: Mixture Baseline with Solar Thermal scenario 00 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	257
Table 64: Mixture Baseline with Solar Thermal scenario 01 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	258
Table 65: Mixture Baseline with Solar Thermal scenario 02 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	259
Table 66: Mixture Baseline with Solar Thermal scenario 03 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	259
Table 67: Mixture Baseline with Solar Thermal scenario 04 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	260
Table 68: Mixture Baseline with Solar Thermal scenario 05 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	260
Table 69: shows the systems annual operating frequency distribution for the mixture Solar Thermal 06 scenario	261
Table 70: Mixture Baseline with Wind scenario 00 (LCD) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	262
Table 71: Mixture Baseline with Wind scenario 01 (LCD) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	262
Table 72: Mixture Baseline with Wind scenario 02 (LCD) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	263
Table 73: Mixture Baseline with Wind scenario 03 (LCD) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	264
Table 74: Mixture Baseline with Wind scenario 04 (LCD) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	264
Table 75: Mixture Baseline with Wind scenario 05 (LCD) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	265
Table 76: Mixture Baseline with Wind scenario 06 (LCD) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	266

Table 77: Mixture Baseline with Wind scenario 06 (EID) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	266
Table 78: Coal Baseline with No (Null) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	267
Table 79: Coal Baseline with Wind scenario 06 (LCD) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	268
Table 80: Coal Baseline with Wind scenario 06 (EID) augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	268
Table 81: Coal Baseline with Solar Thermal scenario 05 augmentation annual operating frequency distribution	269

Index of Figures

Figure 1: Tumut 3 power station. Each of the six pipes runs to a turbine capable of producing 300MW of electricity.	36
Figure 2: A photograph taken on 23rd September 2009 showing the effect of the dust storm in Newcastle. Note that visibility has been reduced to the extent the horizon cannot be seen.	38
Figure 3: This shows the CLFR technology from the ground level. The mirrors have been aligned to heat the receiver.	40
Figure 4: This shows the CLFR units from an elevated position. The mirrors have been turned downwards to avoid damage to the mirrors as the unit was not in use that day ..	41
Figure 5: This photo shows the length of the CFLR installation.	42
Figure 6: Part of the Starfish Hill wind farm.	44
Figure 7: Emissions vs Output for a Base500 generator.....	99
Figure 8: This graph shows the change of a Solar100 turbine over time from minimum operational speed to full power.	100
Figure 10: This figure shows the output change an OCGT100 would take over time in changing output from its minimum operational speed of 30% to 100% of rated output.	108
Figure 11: this figure shows the output change an CCGT200 would take over time in changing output from its minimum operational speed to 100% of rated output.....	109
Figure 12: this figure shows the output change an CCGT200 would take over time in changing output from its minimum operational speed to 100% of rated output.....	112
Figure 13: South Australia Weather Observation Stations. The location of stations mentioned above have been marked with a black box. Image sourced from the Bureau of Meteorology.....	118
Figure 14: Adelaide Area Weather Observation Stations. The location of stations mentioned above have been marked with a black box. Image sourced from the Bureau of Meteorology.....	119
Figure 15: Western Australia weather observation Stations. The locations of stations mentioned above have been marked with a black box. Image sourced from the Bureau of Meteorology.....	120
Figure 16: New South Wales Weather Observation Stations. The location of stations mentioned above have been marked with a black box. Image sourced from the Bureau	

of Meteorology.....	122
Figure 17: This graph shows the radiation received by the ground based recorders for the six days of 4th - 9th January 2004. It shows the below average direct radiation received on the 6th and 7th.	135
Figure 18: This graph shows the radiation received by the ground based recorders for the days of 26th - 29th September 2004. It shows the below average direct radiation received on the 27th and 28th.	136
Figure 19: Output of a 160MW wind farm at Sellicks Hill wind farm on the 21st December. Note the rapid fluctuations in output.	137
Figure 20: December 21st daily output graph of the Wind Scenario 06 LCD augmenting the Mixture Baseline. Note the wind fluctuations require output changes of the Baseload, CCGT and OCGT generators to maintain supplies.	138
Figure 21: December 21 st output graph of the Wind Scenario 06 EID augmenting the Mixture Baseline. Note the wind fluctuations require reduced output changes of the Baseload and CCGT with the OCGT generators smoothing fluctuations	139
Figure 22: Daily output graph showing only the contribution of wind Energy. Note each site has 10MW of installed capacity.....	141
Figure 23: This figure shows the relative impact of the wind generators on the overall network for the day.	141
Figure 24: Shows the combined output of wind farms over the course of May 19th ...	142
Figure 25: This figure shows the output of the entire mixed network augmented with the Wind 03 scenario using Least Cost Dispatching. Note the decrease in wind energy contribution at the same time the demand increases in the evening.	143
Figure 26: the LMIX-NUL- scenarios daily demand for January 16th and each generators contribution to supplying that demand.	149
Figure 27: the LMIX-GEO-00 scenarios daily demand for January 16th and each generators contribution to supplying that demand.	151
Figure 28: the LMIX-GEO-01 scenarios daily demand for January 16th and each generators contribution to supplying that demand.	153
Figure 29: the LMIX-GEO-02 scenarios daily demand for January 16th and each generators contribution to supplying that demand.	155
Figure 30: the LMIX-GEO-03 scenarios daily demand for January 16th and each generators contribution to supplying that demand. Note that this is the first geothermal scenario where the OCGT generators are no longer required.	157
Figure 31: LMIX-SOLAR-00 daily output on Jan 16th.	161
Figure 32: LMIX-SOLAR-00 performance on notable weather days 26th-29th September.....	163
Figure 33: LMIX-SOLAR-01 system performance on 16th Jan.	165
Figure 34: LMIX-SOLAR-01 performance on notable weather days 26th-29th.....	166
Figure 35: LMIX-SOLAR-02 system performance on 16th Jan.	168
Figure 36: LMIX-SOLAR-02 performance on notable weather days 26th-29th.....	169
Figure 37: LMIX-SOLAR-03 system performance on 16th Jan	172
Figure 38: LMIX-SOLAR-03 performance on notable weather days 26th-29th.....	173
Figure 39: LMIX-SOLAR-04 system performance on 16th Jan	175
Figure 40: LMIX-SOLAR-04 performance on notable weather days 26th-29th.....	176
Figure 41: LMIX-SOLAR-05 system performance on 16th Jan	178
Figure 42: LMIX-SOLAR-05 performance on notable weather days 26th-29th.....	179
Figure 43: LMIX-SOLAR-06 system performance on 16th Jan	181

Figure 44: LMIX-SOLAR-06 performance on notable weather days 26th-29th.....	182
Figure 45: LMIX-WIND-00 system performance on 16th Jan.....	187
Figure 46: LMIX-WIND-01 system performance on 16th Jan.....	189
Figure 47: LMIX-WIND-02 system performance on 16th Jan.....	191
Figure 48: LMIX-WIND-03 system performance on 16th Jan.....	193
Figure 49: LMIX-WIND-04 system performance on 16th Jan.....	195
Figure 50: LMIX-WIND-05 system performance on 16th Jan.....	197
Figure 51: LMIX-WIND-06 system performance on 16th Jan.....	199
Figure 52: EMIX-WIND-06 system performance on 16th Jan.....	201
Figure 53: Comparison of Least Cost Dispatching vs Enhanced Intermittent Dispatching for Mixture Baseline scenario with Wind Augmentation scenario 06.	203
Figure 54: LMIX-GEO-03 system performance on 16th Jan	208
Figure 55: LMIX1-WIND-06 system performance on 16th Jan.....	210
Figure 56: LMIX1-SOLAR-05 system performance on 16th Jan	212
Figure 57: LMIX1-SOLAR-06 system performance on 16th Jan	214
Figure 58: LCOAL-NULL system performance on 16th Jan	218
Figure 59: LCOAL-GEO-03 system performance on 16th Jan	220
Figure 60: LCOAL1-GEO-03 system performance on 16th Jan	222
Figure 61: LCOAL2-GEO-03 system performance on 16th Jan	224
Figure 62: LCOAL-WIND-06 system performance on 16th Jan	226
Figure 63: ECOAL-WIND-06 system performance on 16th Jan	228
Figure 64: Comparison of system operating frequencies for LCD/EID for Coal and Mixture based systems	229
Figure 65: LCOAL-SOLAR-05 system performance on 16th Jan	232

Nomenclature

The following abbreviations are used throughout the document and refer to sites renewable generators can be located.

Sites capable of wind based generation

ARDO	Adelaide Regional Office
LOFT	Mount Lofty
NRLG	Noarlunga
OHBP	Outer Harbour Black Pole (at the North West edge of Adelaide City)
SELL	Sellicks Hill
THEV	Thevenard
YCDU	Ceduna
YGLS	Giles
YMTG	Mount Gambier
YPAD	Adelaide Airport
YPED	Edinburgh
YPWR	Woomera

Sites capable of solar based generation

ADEL	Adelaide
MILD	Mildura
MTGA	Mount Gambier
WAG	Wagga Wagga

General Nomenclature

Col - (area of near equal mean sea level pressure resulting in low winds)

Baseload Generator – a generator whose output is planned to be at high output percentages the majority of the time.

Peaking Generator - a generator whose output is planned to be used at high output percentages but infrequently

Intermediate Generator – a generator whose output is planned to be at low output percentages the majority of the time, but whose output is adjusted to higher output before Peaking Generators are started.

Fully-Dispatchable. A generator which is fully able to control its output at any time of the year

Semi-Dispatchable. A generator which can only partially control its output and may not be able to increase output due to local weather conditions.

List of Abbreviations.

CCGT – Combined Cycle Gas Turbine

EMIX-WIND-S05 and similar – an abbreviation for a scenario name. Refer to Augmentation Naming structure section for more details.

GHG – Greenhouse Gas

kWh – kilo watt hour

MWh – Megawatt hour. Equal to 1000 kWh

MWt – Megawatt Thermal energy.

OCGT – Open Cycle Gas Turbine

Abstract

This project was concerned with analysing future low emissions scenarios from an Australian perspective through electricity network simulation using software to simulate each generator on the network each second using historical weather and demand data. The main aim of this project was to create a framework where future energy scenarios can be simulated and assessed and improved before billions of dollars is committed to building infrastructure. This project analyses the impacts of adding significant wind, solar thermal and geothermal generation to an existing network in a fictional state of Australia similar to South Australia over a time period of one year.

The baseline generator portfolios consisted of a coal dominated network and a mixed network which includes coal, CCGT, OCGT and Hydro-Electric generation and each scenarios key outputs were total emissions for the year and stability which measured whether the generators were under or over generating based on the systems present demand.

As more wind generation was added to the network, the volatility of the output of the generator in the system increased and an alternate dispatching method was used instead of the Least Cost Dispatching. Enhanced Intermittent Dispatching deliberately dispatched a peaking generator to act as a buffer and dampen the effect of a large change in wind based output.

This study found there were days of high volatility for both solar and wind based energy sources and both sources had days of low contribution which would lead to an inability to retire existing generation.

It is recommended that further work be done in this field and that planning for future low emissions networks involve the exploitation of generator synergies and an approach which includes a whole of network analysis to ensure the lowest risk transition to a low emissions electricity generation network.