

1 Exercise Adherence and Intervention Effects of Two School-Based Resistance Training
2 Programs for Adolescents

3

4

5 David R. Lubans^{1*}, Cayenne Sheaman² and Robin Callister³

6

7

8 * Corresponding author

9 David Lubans, PhD

10 University of Newcastle

11 School of Education

12 Callaghan Campus

13 NSW 2308

14 Australia

15 Email: David.Lubans@newcastle.edu.au

16 Telephone: +61 2 49212049

17 Fax: +61 2 49217407

18

19 Cayenne Sheaman, BSc

20 Robin Callister, PhD

21 ¹School of Education, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan Campus, AUSTRALIA;

22 ²School of Sports and Exercise Science, University of Northern Colorado, UNITED STATES;

23 ³Faculty of Health, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan Campus, AUSTRALIA

24

25

Abstract word count: 199

26

Manuscript word count: 3746

27

Tables: 3

28

Figures: 2

29

1 **Abstract**

2 *Objective:* The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and feasibility of two
3 school-based RT programs to improve muscular fitness and body composition in
4 adolescents.

5 *Methods:* The study was conducted in Australia from July 2008 to June 2009.
6 Participants [$n = 108$, mean age (SD) = 15.0 (0.7) years] were randomized to free weights
7 ($n = 37$) or elastic tubing ($n = 41$) RT groups and a control group was recruited ($n = 30$).
8 Participants in the RT groups completed 2 sets of 10-12 repetitions on 10 exercises for 8
9 weeks. Waist circumference, body composition (bio-impedance analysis) and muscular
10 strength (bench press and leg press) were assessed at baseline and posttest.

11 *Results:* Boys ($p < 0.001$) and girls ($p < 0.01$) in both RT groups improved their
12 body composition over the study period. Boys in both RT groups significantly improved
13 both upper and lower body strength and their improvements were significantly greater
14 than changes observed in the control group. Girls in the free weights groups achieved
15 larger improvements in lower body strength compared to the control group ($p < 0.05$).

16 *Conclusions:* Free weights and elastic tubing RT are feasible and effective
17 strategies for improving aspects of health-related fitness in adolescents.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 **Key words:** Physical activity; secondary school; obesity, muscular fitness; exercise;
29 physical fitness; strength training; weight training

1 **Introduction**

2 Due to the benefits of physical activity and concerns regarding obesity
3 prevalence, the promotion of physical activity among youth has emerged as a global
4 health priority (Pushka, et al., 2003). While physical activity opportunities for children
5 should focus on developing fundamental movement skills (Gallahue and Ozmun, 2006),
6 this focus should shift towards health-related fitness and lifetime activities in adolescence
7 (Strong, et al., 2005). Lifetime activities generally only involve one or two people and
8 require little organization (e.g. swimming, tennis, aerobics and resistance training).
9 Individuals who develop a routine of participating in lifetime activities that can be easily
10 carried into adulthood may be more likely to become active adults (Corbin, 2002).

11 Resistance training (RT) is a lifetime activity designed specifically to increase
12 muscular strength and endurance through increased workload demand on muscles.
13 Historically, RT has not been recommended for children and adolescents due to the
14 perceived threat of injury and the belief that it could stunt linear growth (Faigenbaum,
15 2000). While the majority of previous studies have focused on prepubertal children,
16 supervised RT programs do not appear to have any adverse effects in youth (Malina,
17 2006). Conversely, studies have found that RT has many benefits for adolescents,
18 including increased muscular fitness (Benson, et al., 2008a, Shaibi, et al., 2006),
19 decreased body fat (Benson, et al., 2008a, Shaibi, et al., 2006) and improved blood lipid
20 profiles (Benson, et al., 2008a, Lau, et al., 2004). However, determining the specific
21 effects of RT in healthy adolescents is difficult because previous studies have failed to
22 include a control group (Faigenbaum, et al., 2007), focused on obese adolescents (Lau, et
23 al., 2004, Shaibi, et al., 2006, Watts, et al., 2004), combined prepubertal children and
24 adolescents in the same sample (Benson, et al., 2008a, Stahle, et al., 1995) and failed to
25 separate results by gender (Benson, et al., 2008a, Watts, et al., 2004). Overweight youth
26 are more likely to show favorable changes in body composition in response to RT
27 compared to healthy weight adolescents and changes in strength in children may be
28 difficult to distinguish from growth and maturation (Faigenbaum, 2000).

29 The prevalence of pediatric obesity (Hossain, et al., 2007) and the increasing
30 burden of sarcopenic obesity (i.e. increased body fat and reduced lean mass) among adult
31 populations (Villareal, et al., 2005) emphasize the importance of engaging individuals in
32 RT to improve body composition and muscular fitness from an early age. While RT with
33 free weights is known to improve health-related and metabolic fitness in adolescents
34 (Benson, et al., 2008b, Faigenbaum, 2000), less is known regarding the feasibility and

1 efficacy of elastic tubing/stretch bands in youth. Elastic tubing/stretch bands have
2 typically been used for rehabilitative purposes (Damush and Damush, 1999, Krebs, et al.,
3 1998) rather than for fitness training, although there is some evidence to suggest that
4 training programs involving elastic bands or tubing increase muscle strength in adults
5 (Mikesky, et al., 1994, Page, et al., 1993). The aim of this study was to evaluate the
6 efficacy and feasibility of two school-based RT programs to improve muscular fitness
7 and body composition in adolescents.

8 **Method**

9 *Study population and design*

10 Approval for the study was obtained from the University of Newcastle Research
11 Ethics Committee and the school principal from one non-government secondary school in
12 Newcastle, New South Wales (NSW), Australia and all participants provided informed
13 consent. The flow of participants through the study process is reported in Figure 1.
14 Eligible participants were untrained secondary school students at the study school in
15 years 9 to 10. Participants were ineligible if they were currently doing RT, had extensive
16 experience with RT (i.e. individuals who had completed a formal RT program designed
17 to improve fitness and or sporting performance were not eligible), or if they had a
18 medical condition or physical injury preventing testing or training. Sessions were run
19 separately for year 9 and 10 students and were evaluated from July to December 2008.
20 The study involved two recruitment phases. In the first phase of recruitment, 79 students
21 were recruited and randomized using a computer-based random number-producing
22 algorithm to elastic tubing or free weight resistance training groups. The randomization
23 process was stratified by gender and year group at school to ensure equal numbers in the
24 two treatment arms. Randomization to RT conditions occurred after baseline testing and
25 was conducted by a member of the research team who was not involved in the
26 assessments. In the second stage, a control group was recruited (n = 30) from the study
27 school and were assessed from April to June 2009. Participants in the control group were
28 offered the RT programs following the completion of the study. A power calculation
29 based on change in upper body strength was calculated. Based on a previous study, an
30 increase of 10kg in upper body strength was achievable (Benson, et al., 2008a). Using an
31 alpha of 0.05 and power of 80%, a sample size of 11 per group (elastic tubing, free
32 weights and control) was needed to detect a 9kg difference between groups. Considering
33 study drop-out and to allow for separate gender analyses, a sample size of approximately
34 100 students was needed (33 students in each study arm).

1 *Treatment conditions*

2 The control group was asked to refrain from any resistance training and maintain their
3 normal physical activity and nutrition behaviors. The free weights and elastic tubing groups
4 participated in progressive RT programs delivered during lunch-time twice a week for 8-weeks.
5 Due to physical education curricular restrictions, the RT programs were offered as lunchtime
6 activities and participants were advised to eat their lunch at the completion of the RT session.
7 Instructors were university educated physical education teachers and the instructor to participant
8 ratio was 1:15. Before commencing each session, participants completed 5 minutes of aerobic
9 activity (cycle or other ergometer) and dynamic stretching (i.e. leg swings, body weights squats).
10 In each session, participants completed 2 sets of 8-12 repetitions (10-12 repetitions in weeks 1-4
11 and 8-10 repetitions in weeks 5-8) for 10 exercises (session duration 40-50 minutes) with 60-90
12 seconds rest between sets. Elastic tubing exercises were completed in the following order: squat,
13 lunge, calf raise, bent over row, chest press, front raise, biceps curl, triceps extension, crunch,
14 and Russian twist. The free weights exercises included the following: squat, lunge, calf raise,
15 bent over row, bench press, front raise, biceps curl, triceps extension, crunch, and Russian twist.
16 Participants in the free weights RT group used standard dumbbells, barbells and benches. The
17 elastic tubing RT group used the elastic tubing RT device known as the Gymstick™. The device
18 consists of a graphite shaft that has elastic tubing with foot straps connected to each end of the
19 shaft enabling the trainer to complete a wide range of resistance exercises. Gymsticks™ are
20 available in five different resistance levels and the load on each device can be increased by
21 rolling the bar and shortening the elastic tubing.

22 Borg's rating of perceived exertion (RPE) was used to determine the training intensity
23 and progression for both RT groups (Borg, 1998). The training intensity involved 8-12 repetition
24 maximum and participants were encouraged to achieve an RPE of 15-18 and reach volitional
25 failure for the last repetition of each set. Previous studies have shown that Borg's scale is readily
26 learned by adolescents (Williams, et al., 1991), and is a valid instrument for monitoring exercise
27 exertion in this age group (Robertson and Noble, 1997). Participants in the RT groups were
28 asked to maintain normal eating and physical activity patterns over the duration of the study.
29 During the study period all participants completed their usual PE and school sport programs
30 which consisted of 120 minutes of school-based physical activity each week.

31 *Measures*

32 All assessments were completed by trained research assistants and measurements
33 were completed at the study school. The primary outcome was muscular strength and the
34 secondary outcome was body composition. *i) Muscular strength:* Maximal muscular

1 strength was assessed using a progressive repetition maximal lift (1RM) protocol, which
2 includes two phases and has good test-retest reliability ($r=0.93$) (Benson, et al., 2008a,
3 Faigenbaum, et al., 1988). In the familiarization phase, the instructors demonstrated the
4 lift and then the participants were instructed on correct form and breathing and given 2-3
5 practice lifts with a light bar or no weights. In the testing phase, the weights were
6 increased until the subject can no longer lift the weight, despite verbal encouragement on
7 two consecutive attempts 90 seconds apart. Upper body strength was assessed using a
8 supine bench press and lower body strength was determined using an incline seated leg
9 press. (ii) *Height and weight*: Weight was measured in light clothing without shoes using
10 a portable digital scale (Seca 770, Wedderburn) to the nearest 0.1kg and height was
11 measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer (PEb7). Body mass index
12 (BMI) was calculated using the standard equation ($\text{weight}[\text{kg}]/\text{height}[\text{m}]^2$) and age-
13 specific cut-off points from the International Obesity Task Force were used to classify
14 participants as healthy weight, overweight or obese (Cole, et al., 2000). (iii) *Waist*
15 *circumference*: Non-extensible steel tapes (KDSW-2008) were used to assess waist
16 circumference, which was measured level with the umbilicus to standardize the
17 procedure. (iv) *Body composition*: Percentage body fat, fat mass (FM) and fat free mass
18 (FFM) were determined using the ImpTM SFB7 bioelectrical impedance (BIA) analyzer
19 (Moon, et al., 2008, Scharfetter, et al., 2005). The ImpTM SFB7 is a multi-frequency, tetra
20 polar bioimpedance spectroscopy (BIS) device (Nielsen, et al., 2007). Participants were
21 asked to refrain from physical activity before testing and to maintain normal hydration
22 patterns.

23 *Process evaluation*

24 A detailed process evaluation was undertaken to assess the feasibility of the
25 school-based RT programs. The following data were collected: (i) *Session attendance*-
26 attendance at all sessions was recorded and compliance indices were calculated by
27 dividing the total number of sessions attended by the total number of sessions prescribed.
28 (ii) *Program adherence*- intensity adherence was determined by dividing the average
29 RPE for every set completed. (iii) *Adverse events and injuries*- research assistants and
30 instructors were asked to record any injuries or adverse events that occurred at
31 assessments or during the program sessions. (iv) *Program satisfaction*- at the completion
32 of the study, participants were asked to rate their overall satisfaction with their RT

1 program using a 3-item scale developed for the study. Cronbach alpha $\alpha=0.77$ and
2 possible scores ranged from 3 to 15.

3 *Statistical Analyses*

4 Data analysis was undertaken using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
5 (SPSS, version 16, SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill, USA). Differences between groups at
6 baseline and characteristics of completers versus dropouts were tested using one way
7 analysis of variance (ANOVA) and independent samples t-tests, respectively. Repeated
8 measures ANOVA were used to identify group-by-time interaction effects for
9 participants who completed the study with age included as a covariate. Where significant
10 interaction effects were found, change scores (posttest minus baseline) were compared
11 using one way ANOVA with a Bonferroni post hoc procedure and effect sizes ($d=M_1 -$
12 $M_2 / \sigma_{\text{pooled}}$) were calculated. Effect sizes were defined as small ($d=0.2$), medium ($d=0.5$)
13 and large ($d=0.8$) (Cohen, 1988). Chi-square (χ^2) was used to compare drop-out rates for
14 free weights and elastic tubing RT groups. All data are presented as mean and standard
15 deviation (\pm SD).

16 **Results**

17 *Participants*

18 The average age of participants was 15.0 (± 0.7) years. Most participants spoke
19 English as their first language and were born in Australia (Table 1). Nineteen participants
20 (18% of study sample) were overweight or obese (6 in the control group, 7 in the free
21 weights RT group and 5 in the elastic tubing RT group). At baseline, boys in the control
22 group achieved significantly more upper bench strength ($p<0.05$) than those in the RT
23 groups. Girls in the free weights group were significantly heavier than girls in the control
24 group ($p<0.05$). There were no significant differences between completers and drop-outs
25 for any of the outcome variables.

26 *Body composition*

27 The results for participants who completed both baseline and posttest assessments
28 are reported in Table 2 (boys) and Table 3 (girls). There were no significant group-by-
29 time interaction effects for waist circumference for boys or girls. Boys in both RT groups
30 reduced their fat mass and increased their fat free mass resulting in a significant group-
31 by-time interaction effect for % body fat ($p<0.01$) (Figure 1). Post hoc analyses indicated
32 that both RT groups had significantly decreased their body fat in comparison to the
33 control group. Similarly, girls in both RT groups improved their body composition over

1 the study period and significant group-by-time interaction effects were found for body
 2 mass index (BMI) ($p<0.01$) and % body fat ($p<0.01$). Post hoc analyses indicated no
 3 significant differences between girls in the RT groups. However, effect sizes for the free
 4 weights RT group were larger than those observed in the elastic tubing RT group for both
 5 boys and girls. The effects of the RT programs on body composition (% body fat) were
 6 similar for those identified as overweight/obese at baseline [free weights RT (baseline
 7 28.5% to posttest 27%) and elastic tubing RT (baseline 24.7% to posttest 22.3%)] to
 8 healthy weight individuals [free weights RT (baseline 18.9% to posttest 16.6%) and
 9 elastic tubing RT (baseline 18.7% to posttest 17.9%)].

10 *Muscular strength*

11 Boys in both RT groups significantly improved upper ($p<0.01$) and lower body
 12 strength ($p<0.05$) over the study period and their improvements were significantly greater
 13 than changes observed in the control group. Effect sizes for improvements in upper body
 14 strength were larger in the free weights RT group (24% increase, $d=1.2$) compared to the
 15 elastic tubing RT group (12% increase, $d=0.6$). Increases in lower body strength were
 16 similar for boys in both groups (32% elastic tubing and 35% free weights). Girls in the
 17 free weights groups achieved larger improvements in lower body strength in comparison
 18 to the elastic tubing and control groups ($p<0.05$). For girls, effect sizes for absolute
 19 lower body strength were $d=1.6$ (32% increase) for the free weights RT group, $d=1.1$
 20 (19% increase) for the elastic tubing RT group and $d=0.5$ for the control group.

21 *Process evaluation*

22 Twelve participants (8 boys and 4 girls) from the free weights RT group dropped
 23 out of the study and 25 completed baseline and posttest measures (68%). Boys and girls
 24 in the free weights RT group attended 79% and 73% of training sessions, respectively.
 25 The average RPE for each set completed was 14.4 (± 1.9) for boys and 15.4 (± 1.6) for
 26 girls. The dropout rate was higher among participants in the free weights RT group,
 27 compared to the elastic tubing RT group ($\chi^2=6.08$, $p<0.05$). In the elastic tubing RT
 28 group, 32 participants completed the study (78%), eight participants dropped out of the
 29 study (5 boys and 3 girls) and one was not available for testing due to illness. Boys and
 30 girls in the elastic tubing RT group attended 78% and 79% of training sessions,
 31 respectively. The average RPE for each set completed was 14.7 (± 2.1) for boys and 13.4
 32 (± 1.4) for girls. No injuries or adverse effects were reported during training sessions or
 33 assessments. At the completion of the study, participants in both the free weights

1 (11.3±2.4) and the elastic tubing (11.6±1.8) RT groups reported relatively high
2 satisfaction with their respective RT programs.

3 **Discussion**

4 The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and feasibility of two school-
5 based RT programs to improve muscular fitness and body composition in adolescents.

6 The major findings of this study are that both boys and girls who completed 8-weeks of
7 elastic tubing and free weights RT significantly improved their body composition and
8 muscular strength in comparison to a control group. While larger effect sizes were found
9 in the free weights RT group, program adherence was higher and drop-out rate was lower
10 among participants in the elastic tubing RT group.

11 Interventions to prevent (Flodmark, et al., 2006) and treat obesity (Latzer, et al.,
12 2008) among children and adolescents often result in small and/or non-significant
13 changes in adiposity. However, recent RT studies have been found to significantly
14 improve body composition in overweight/obese adolescents (Benson, et al., 2008a,
15 Shaibi, et al., 2006). In the current study, participants in both RT groups significantly
16 improved their body composition over an 8-week study period. Boys in both RT groups
17 reduced their fat mass and significantly increased their fat free mass. Similarly, girls in
18 both groups reduced their fat mass and significantly improved their BMI. It is interesting
19 to note that decreases in body fat in the current study were accompanied by increases in
20 fat free mass among boys in both RT groups. Evidence from the bioelectrical impedance
21 analysis indicates that increases in lean muscle mass occurred in both RT groups. There
22 were no statistically significant changes in waist circumference among boys or girls
23 among either group over the 8-week study period. In contrast, Benson and colleagues
24 (Benson, et al., 2008a) found a 0.8cm reduction in waist circumference that was
25 statistically significant in their study with children and adolescents after 8-weeks of high
26 intensity RT. It should be noted that 51% of their study sample was overweight or obese
27 at baseline and greater reductions in body fat among these individuals are possible. In
28 comparison, only 18% of the current sample was overweight or obese.

29 The high drop-out rate of participants in programs to prevent and treat obesity has
30 been identified as an issue of considerable concern (Latzer, et al., 2008). Previous RT
31 studies have reported attendance compliance of >80% (Benson, et al., 2008b), which is
32 comparable to the current study. While this has not been established in adolescents,
33 research with adults indicates that exercise adherence is inversely related to exercise
34 intensity (Perri, et al., 2002). This suggests that as exercise intensity increases, higher

1 rates of drop-out are observed. In the current study, the drop-out rate was higher among
2 participants in the free weights group (68% completed) compared to the elastic tubing
3 group (78% completed) and significantly more participants dropped out of the free
4 weights group. While students reported relatively high satisfaction with their respective
5 RT programs, students attended only attended 75% of sessions. Finding time for
6 additional physical activity within the school day is difficult due to the crowded school
7 curriculum (Biddle, et al., 2004). It is plausible to suggest that participants failed to attend
8 some of the sessions due to additional academic and extra-curricular commitments.

9 It should be noted that neither program included behavior change strategies to
10 increase exercise adherence. Both programs were delivered at schools during lunch-time
11 and all sessions were voluntary. Unlike previous programs which have focused on obese
12 adolescents (Lau, et al., 2004, Shaibi, et al., 2006) or combined children and adolescents
13 in the one program (Benson, et al., 2008a, Stahle, et al., 1995), the current study involved
14 adolescents only and the program was offered as a non-compulsory lunch time activity.
15 Children are generally more compliant than adolescents and evidence clearly indicates
16 that adolescence is a period associated with a steep decline in physical activity (Nader, et
17 al., 2008). While changes in body composition and muscular fitness may revert to pre-
18 training levels once individuals are no longer training, RT programs combined with
19 behavioral modification strategies (e.g. self-monitoring and goal setting) provide
20 adolescents with knowledge and skills that can be transferred into adulthood to promote
21 lifelong physical activity. Future studies should evaluate the long-term adherence and
22 effects of school-based physical activity interventions incorporating elastic tubing RT
23 with goal setting principles and strategies to enhance social support.

24 Girls and boys in both RT groups significantly increased their muscular strength
25 over the study period and the only statistically significant difference between RT groups
26 was found for lower body strength in girls. However, the increases in strength observed
27 in the elastic tubing RT group were smaller than those observed in the free weights group
28 for both upper (boys 12%, girls 13%) and lower body strength (boys 32%, girls, 19%).
29 Strength increases for boys (upper 24% and lower 35%) and girls (17% and 32%) in the
30 free weights RT group were similar to those identified in a previous study with
31 adolescents (Shaibi, et al., 2006). Shaibi et al (2006) found that obese adolescent males
32 increased their upper and lower body strength by 26% and 28%, respectively, following
33 16-weeks of RT. Larger increases in upper (39%) and lower (39%) body strength were
34 found in another RT study which included both children and adolescents (Benson, et al.,

1 2008a). It is interesting to note that upper body strength decreased among participants in
2 the control group over the study period. It is unlikely that participants in the control group
3 actually decreased their strength over the study period. While the same testing protocols
4 were utilized with all groups at assessments, participant motivation and measurement
5 error may partially explain this finding. Finally, it should be noted that some of the
6 increases in upper body strength found among boys and girls in the free weights groups
7 may be attributable to testing-training specificity, as bench press was included as one of
8 the exercises in the free weights program.

9 Boys in the free weights group increased their strength by more than the girls in
10 the free weights group and similar trends were observed in the elastic tubing RT group.
11 The majority of previous studies have focused on children and prepubertal adolescents
12 and studies that have included male and female adolescents have not separated results by
13 gender (Benson, et al., 2008a, Watts, et al., 2004). Consequently, little is known about
14 gender differences in strength training adaptations in healthy adolescents. It is interesting
15 to note that girls in the free weights group achieved similar increases in strength to the
16 boys in the elastic tubing group.

17 *Limitations and strengths*

18 The findings from this study are limited by the following. First, body composition
19 was assessed using bio-impedance analysis. While hydrostatic weighing or dual energy
20 X-ray absorptiometry may provide more reliable estimates of body composition, body fat
21 predictions from tetra-polar bioimpedance have been found to correspond favorably with
22 the latter in youth (Nielsen, et al., 2007). Second, while we asked participants to maintain
23 their normal physical activity and dietary patterns over the study period, we did not
24 require participants to record their behaviors over the study period due to the perceived
25 participant burden this would entail. Third, the familiarization phase of the testing was
26 conducted on the same day as the actual testing. Ideally, practice trials should be
27 completed at least one day prior to the testing to account for training-induced strength
28 gains resulting from the learning effect. Finally, the study was underpowered to detect
29 smaller between group differences. To overcome this limitation, effect sizes have been
30 reported.

31 This is the first study to evaluate the effects of elastic tubing resistance training in
32 adolescents and our findings have important implications for the promotion of muscular
33 fitness in this age group. Our study involved a strong experimental design and where
34 possible we have adhered to the CONSORT statement.

1 *Conclusions*

2 Recent studies have identified the importance of muscular fitness to the current
3 and future health of young people (Ortega, et al., 2008, Ruiz, et al., 2009, Steene-
4 Johannessen, et al., 2009). Consequently, the current physical activity recommendations
5 for youth include guidelines to improve muscular fitness (U.S. Department of Health &
6 Human Services, 2008). While schools have been recognized as important institutions for
7 the promotion of physical activity among youth (Wechsler, et al., 2000), few studies have
8 examined the efficacy and feasibility of school-based RT programs in adolescents.
9 Furthermore, this is the first study to evaluate the efficacy of elastic tubing RT in
10 adolescents. This study has shown that RT with free weights and elastic tubing devices
11 are feasible and effective approaches to physical activity promotion among adolescents in
12 secondary schools. While the drop-out rate was higher among participants in the free
13 weights RT group, elastic tubing RT has a number of limitations that should be noted.
14 First, training adaptations made in response to elastic tubing RT were smaller than those
15 observed in the free weights group. Second, the elastic tubing devices are ineffective at
16 inducing high loads in a complete range of motion due to the nature of the bands which
17 increase in difficulty with added length. Finally, maintaining an adequate grip position
18 can be difficult for some exercises and there is also the potential for the bands to snap and
19 cause injury.

20 *Acknowledgements*

21 This project was supported by a University of Newcastle Pilot Grant. The authors
22 would like to thank David Pitfield, John Pryor, John Allen, and Elroy Aguiar for their
23 roles in the delivery and evaluation of this project. The elastic tubing resistance tools
24 used in this study were provided by Darren Round from Sports-Port Agencies. We would
25 also like to thank the school and students for making this study possible.

26

1 **References**

- 2 Benson, A.C., Torode, M.E., Fiatarone Singh, M.A., 2008a. The effect of high-intensity
3 progressive resistance training on adiposity in children: a randomized controlled
4 trial. *Int J Obes.* 1016–1027.
- 5 Benson, A.C., Torode, M.E., Fiatarone Singh, M.A., 2008b. Effects of resistance training
6 on metabolic fitness in children and adolescents: a systematic review. *Obes Rev.*
7 9, 43-66.
- 8 Biddle, S., Gorely, T., Stensel, D., 2004. Health-enhancing physical activity and
9 sedentary behaviour in children and adolescents. *J. Sports Sci.* 22, 679-701.
- 10 Borg, G., 1998. Borg's Perceived Exertion and Pain Scales.). pp. 2-9, Human Kinetics,
11 Champaign, Ill.
- 12 Cohen, J., 1988. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*). p. 20,
13 Lawrence Earlbaum Associates, Hillsdale (NJ).
- 14 Cole, T.J., Bellizzi, M.C., Flegal, K.M., Dietz, W.H., 2000. Establishing a standard
15 definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *BMJ.*
16 320, 1240-.
- 17 Corbin, C.B., 2002. Physical activity for everyone: What every physical educator should
18 know about promoting lifelong physical activity. *J Teach Phys Edu.* 21, 128-144.
- 19 Damush, T.M., Damush, J.G., 1999. The effects of strength training on strength and
20 health-related quality of life in older adult women. *Gerontologist.* 39, 705-710.
- 21 Faigenbaum, A.D., 2000. Strength training for children and adolescents. *Clin J Sport*
22 *Med.* 19, 593-619.
- 23 Faigenbaum, A.D., McFarland, J.E., Johnson, L., Kang, J., Bloom, J., Ratamess, N.A.,
24 Hoffman, J.R., 2007. Preliminary evaluation of an after-school resistance training

- 1 program for improving physical fitness in middle school-age boys. *Percept Mot*
2 *Skills*. 104, 407-415.
- 3 Faigenbaum, A.D., Westcott, W.L., Milliken, L.A., Long, C., La Rosa Loud, R.,
4 Delmonico, M., Micheli, L., 1988. Relationship between repetitions and selected
5 percentages of the one repetition maximum in healthy children. *Pediatr Phys*
6 *Ther*. 10, 110-113.
- 7 Flodmark, C.E., Marcus, C., Britton, M., 2006. Interventions to prevent obesity in
8 children and adolescents: a systematic literature review. *Int J Obes*. 30, 579-589.
- 9 Gallahue, D.L., Ozmun, J.C., 2006. *Understanding motor development: Infants, children,*
10 *adolescents, adults.*). McGraw-Hill, Boston.
- 11 Hossain, P., Kavar, B., Nahas, M., 2007. Obesity and diabetes in the developing world: a
12 growing challenge. *N Engl J Med*. 356, 213-215.
- 13 Krebs, D.E., Jette, A.M., Assmann, S.F., 1998. Moderate exercise improves gait stability
14 in disabled elders. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 79, 1489-1495.
- 15 Latzer, Y., Edmunds, L., Fenig, S., Golan, M., Gur, E., Hochberg, Z., Levin-Zamir, D.,
16 Zubery, E., Speiser, P.W., Stein, D., 2008. Managing childhood overweight:
17 behavior, family, pharmacology, and bariatric surgery interventions. *Obesity*. 17,
18 411-423.
- 19 Lau, P.W.C., Yu, C.W., Lee, A., Sung, R.Y.T., 2004. The physiological and
20 psychological effects of resistance training on Chinese obese adolescents. *J Exerc*
21 *Sci Fit*. 2, 115-120.
- 22 Malina, R.M., 2006. Weight training in youth-growth, maturation, and safety: an
23 evidence-based review. *Clin J Sport Med*. 16, 478-487.

- 1 Mikesky, A.E., Topp, R., Wigglesworth, J.K., Harsha, D.M., Edwards, J.E., 1994.
2 Efficacy of a home-based training program for older adults using elastic tubing.
3 Eur J Appl Physiol. 69, 316-320.
- 4 Moon, J.R., Tobkin, S.E., Roberts, M.D., Dalbo, V.J., Kerksick, C.M., Bembien, M.G.,
5 Cramer, J.T., Stout, J.R., 2008. Total body water estimations in healthy men and
6 women using bioimpedance spectroscopy: a deuterium oxide comparison. Nutr
7 Metab 5, 7.
- 8 Nader, P.R., Bradley, R.H., Houts, R.M., McRitchie, S.L., O'Brien, M., 2008. Moderate-
9 to-vigorous physical activity from ages 9 to 15 years. JAMA. 300, 295-305.
- 10 Nielsen, B.M., Dencker, M., Ward, L., Linden, C., Thorsson, O., Karlsson, M.K.,
11 Heitmann, B.L., 2007. Prediction of fat-free body mass from bioelectrical
12 impedance among 9- to 11-year-old Swedish children. Diabetes Obes Metab. 9,
13 521-539.
- 14 Ortega, F.B., Ruiz, J.R., Castillo, M.J., Sjostrom, M., 2008. Physical fitness in children
15 and adolescence: A powerful marker of health. Int J Obes. 32,
16 doi:10.1038/sj.ijo0803774.
- 17 Page, P.A., Lamberth, J., Abadie, B., Boling, R., Collins, R., Linton, R., 1993. Posterior
18 rotator cuff strengthening using Theraband® in a functional diagonal pattern in
19 collegiate baseball pitchers. J Athl Train. 28, 346-354.
- 20 Perri, M.G., Anton, S.D., Durning, P.E., Ketterson, T.U., Sydeman, S.J., Berlant, N.E.,
21 Kanasky, W.F.J., Newton, R.L.J., Limacher, M.C., Martin, A.D., 2002.
22 Adherence to exercise prescriptions: effects of prescribing moderate versus higher
23 levels of intensity and frequency. Health Psychol. 21, 452-458.
- 24 Pushka, P., Benaziza, H., Porter, D., 2003. Physical Activity and Health. World Health
25 Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

- 1 Robertson, R.J., Noble, B.J., 1997. Perception of physical exertion: Methods, mediators
2 and applications. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 25, 407-452.
- 3 Ruiz, J.R., Castro-Piñero, J., Artero, E.G., Ortega, F.B., Sjöström, M., Suni, J., Castillo,
4 M.J., 2009. Predictive validity of health-related fitness in youth: a systematic
5 review. *Br J Sports Med.* doi:10.1136/bjism.2008.056499.
- 6 Scharfetter, H., Brunner, P., Mayer, M., Brandstätter, B., Hinghofer-Szalkay, H., 2005.
7 Fat and hydration monitoring by abdominal bioimpedance analysis: data
8 interpretation by hierarchical electrical modeling. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 52,
9 975-982.
- 10 Shaibi, G.Q., Cruz, M., Ball, G., Weigensberg, M.J., Salem, G.J., Crespo, N.C., Goran,
11 M.I., 2006. Effects of resistance training on insulin sensitivity in overweight
12 Latino adolescent males. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 38, 1208-1215.
- 13 Stahle, S.D., Roberts, S.O., Davis, B., Rybicki, L., 1995. Effects of a 2 versus 3 times per
14 week weight training programme in boys aged 7 to 16 years. *Med Sci Sport
15 Exerc.* S27.
- 16 Steene-Johannessen, J., Anderssen, S.A., Kolle, E., Andersen, L.B., 2009. Low muscle
17 fitness is associated with metabolic risk in youth. *Med Sci Sport Exerc.* 41, 1361-
18 7.
- 19 Strong, W.B., Malina, R.M., Blimkie, C.J., Daniels, S.R., Dishman, R.K., Gutin, B.,
20 Hergenroeder, A.C., Must, A., Nixon, P.A., Pivarnik, J.M., Rowland, T., Trost, S.,
21 Trudeau, F., 2005. Evidence based physical activity for school-age youth. *J
22 Pediatr.* 146, 732-7.
- 23 U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, 2008. 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines
24 for Americans. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, Washington, D.C.

School-based Resistance Training for Adolescents

- 1 Villareal, D.T., Apovian, C.M., Kushner, R.F., Klein, S., 2005. Obesity in older adults:
2 technical review and position statement of the American Society for Nutrition and
3 NAASO, The Obesity Society. *Obes Res.* 13,, 1849-1863.
- 4 Watts, K., Beye, P., Siafarikas, A., Davis, E.A., Jones, T.W., O'Driscoll, G., Green, D.J.,
5 2004. Exercise training normalizes vascular dysfunction and improves central
6 adiposity in obese adolescents. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 43, 1823-7.
- 7 Wechsler, H., Devereaux, R.S., Davis, M., Collins, J., 2000. Using the school
8 environment to promote physical activity and healthy eating. *Prev Med.* 31, S121-
9 S137.
- 10 Williams, J.G., Eston, R.G., Stretch, C., 1991. Use of the rating of perceived exertion to
11 control exercise intensity in children. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 3, 21-27.
- 12
- 13
- 14

1 **Table 1: Baseline characteristics of participants (Australia, July 2008 to June 2009)**

2

3

1 **Table 2: Changes in primary and secondary outcome measures for girls who**
2 **completed the study (Australia, July 2008 to June 2009)**
3

1 **Table 3: Changes in primary and secondary outcome measures for boys who**
2 **completed the study (Australia, July 2008 to June 2009)**
3
4

- 1 **Figure 1: Flow of participants through the research process (Australia, July 2008 to**
- 2 **June 2009)**
- 3
- 4
- 5

- 1 **Figure 2: Intervention effects on muscular strength and body composition**
- 2 **(Australia, July 2008 to June 2009)**
- 3
- 4