

**SEQUENTIAL SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION FOR
SPEECH PRODUCTION:
IMPLICIT LEARNING PROCESSES AND KNOWLEDGE
BASES AND INSTRUCTIONAL EXEMPLIFICATIONS FOR
GERMAN**

**Dieter Paul Heinsch
B.Arch (TU, Berlin), Dip. Teach (Macq)**

*A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy*

*Faculty of Arts and Social Science Department of Modern Languages
University of Newcastle, Australia*

July 1999

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis is the result of original research and has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other University or Institution.

(Signed) _____

For my wife Veronika
and my daughter Milena.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| Declaration | ii |
| Acknowledgements | iii |
| Abstract | vi |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Sequential second language acquisition for speech production and instructional concepts in its support | 8 |
| 2.1 Sequential second language acquisition | 8 |
| 2.2 Processability Theory: The development of grammatical encoding procedures | 15 |
| 2.3 Theoretical concepts for instruction reflecting potentially critical processes for second language acquisition: 'Input Hypothesis', 'Interaction Hypothesis', 'Output Hypothesis', 'Focus on Form(s)' | 40 |
| 3. Sequential second language acquisition for speech production: A predominantly implicit learning process – Research hypotheses | 63 |
| 4. Evaluation of the assumed forms of knowledge underlying the sequential acquisition process of grammatical encoding procedures and investigation of the nature of their acquisition processes | 66 |
| 4.1 The mental lexicon | 69 |
| 4.1.1 Meaning-based lemma information of lexical entries is explicit knowledge acquired explicitly and stored in explicit memory | 69 |
| Syntactic lemma information of lexical entries is implicit knowledge acquired implicitly and stored in implicit memory | |
| Form-based information of lexical entries is implicit knowledge acquired implicitly and stored in implicit memory | |
| 4.1.2 The allocation of attentional resources is a necessary condition for explicit and implicit learning, but must not involve awareness during implicit learning | 99 |
| 4.2 The grammatical processor | 103 |
| 4.2.1 Implicit knowledge of complex rule-governed structures stored in implicit memory, predominantly underlying procedural and cognitive skills, is dissociated from explicit knowledge stored in explicit memory | 104 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 4.2.2 | The acquisition of predominantly implicit knowledge of complex rule-governed structures is dissociated from the acquisition of explicit knowledge, requires certain conditions of instruction and saliency, and is necessary for the performance of procedural and cognitive skills under time pressure | 119 |
| 4.2.3 | The acquisition of predominantly implicit knowledge of complex rule-governed structures occurs gradually, requires successive learning phases that must lead to implicit learning phases operating in the combined neutral instruction-salient condition, and permits explicit knowledge of complex rule-governed structures as well as practice to contribute to the implicit learning processes under certain conditions | 136 |
| 4.2.4 | The allocation of attentional resources without involving awareness is a necessary condition for implicit learning leading to the acquisition of predominantly implicit knowledge | 151 |
| 4.2.5 | The dissociation between implicit and explicit knowledge and their respective acquisition processes, the necessity of implicit knowledge for the successful performance of procedural and cognitive skills under time pressure, the contribution of explicit knowledge and practice to the implicit acquisition process under certain conditions, and the necessary allocation of attentional resources without involving awareness for implicit learning to occur generalise to the acquisition of artificial and natural languages as second languages | 153 |
| 5. | Confirmation of the research hypotheses and evaluation of the theoretical concepts for instruction reflecting potentially critical processes for second language acquisition | 184 |
| 6. | Sequential second language acquisition for speech production: Implications for instruction | 190 |
| 7. | Sequential second language acquisition for speech production: Exemplification of the implementation of instructional measures for the initial stages of the acquisition of German as a second language within the conceptual framework of ‘Negotiation for Meaning’ | 192 |
| 7.1 | ‘Negotiation for Meaning’, a conceptual framework for the implementation of the instructional measures and measures in their support and the effective organisation of the sequential second language acquisition process | 193 |
| 7.2 | Exemplification of the implementation of instructional measures for the initial stages of the acquisition of German as a second language within the conceptual framework of ‘Negotiation for Meaning’ | 220 |
| 8. | Conclusion | 254 |

ABSTRACT

This thesis is placed in the context of the ongoing debate on the issue whether second language acquisition occurs on the basis of innate language-specific learning mechanisms or general learning mechanisms.

The author shares the view of scholars who propose that an innate knowledge base underlying first language acquisition does not extend to second language acquisition due to the lack of uniform success in the acquisition of native speaker competence, the possibility of fossilisation and the facilitative potential of form-focused instruction. It is, thus, assumed that the sequential second language acquisition process can be accounted for by general learning mechanisms.

The key to these learning mechanisms is, firstly, the investigation of the nature of the knowledge underlying the grammatical encoding procedures for speech production in the context of M. Pienemann's (1998a) Processability Theory and, secondly, the investigation of the nature of its acquisition process.

Pienemann's Processability Theory explains and predicts the sequential acquisition process of a second language as the result of the hierarchically ordered development of the processing procedures of the grammatical processor to grammatically encode conceptual information. It shares with Levelt's (1989) theory of speech production the assumptions concerning the nature of the knowledge underlying the grammatical encoding procedures, which require further investigations for verification. Since the Processability Theory does not specify how the assumed knowledge underlying grammatical encoding is acquired, an investigation of the nature of its acquisition process is necessary.

This investigation highlights the interdependence between the nature of the knowledge to be acquired and the nature of its acquisition process by demonstrating that the knowledge underlying grammatical encoding is predominantly implicit and, consequently, determines the implicit nature of its acquisition process. Such implicit knowledge is dissociated from explicit knowledge, which determines the explicit nature of its acquisition process.

This investigation also demonstrates that explicit grammar teaching and practice in the context of the manipulation of the learners' attentional orientation mediated by alertness may contribute to the implicit learning process under certain conditions.

In conjunction with the provision of guidance by the Processability Theory in regard to the achievement of instructional focus and the independent finding that comprehensible input is needed in order for second language acquisition to occur, these results constitute the basis for the formulation of detailed instructional measures for the effective organisation of the sequential second language acquisition process.

These measures are exemplified by their implementation for the initial stages of the acquisition of German as a second language.