

EMIC WAVE ASSOCIATION WITH GEOMAGNETIC STORMS, THE PLASMASPHERE, AND THE RADIATION BELTS

By

Alexa J. Halford

MS (Colorado, Boulder)

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND IT, MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES,
CENTRE FOR SPACE PHYSICS
SEPTEMBER 2012



THE UNIVERSITY OF
NEWCASTLE
AUSTRALIA

© Alexa J. Halford
MS (Colorado, Boulder) , 2012.

Typeset in $\text{\LaTeX} 2_{\epsilon}$.

0.1 Statement of Originality

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library**, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

**Unless an Embargo has been approved for a determined period.

0.2 Acknowledgement of Collaboration

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis has been done in collaboration with other researchers, or carried out in other institutions. I have included as part of the thesis a statement clearly outlining the extent of collaboration, with whom and under what auspices.

0.3 Acknowledgment of Authorship

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis contains a published paper/s/scholarly work of which I am a joint author. I have included as part of the thesis a written statement, endorsed by my supervisor, attesting to my contribution to the joint publication/s/scholarly work.

Alexa J. Halford
MS (Colorado, Boulder)

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my parents and siblings for all their support not just during this PhD, but throughout my life. I would like to thank my academic family including Paul Nevins, Dr. Mark Engebretson, Dr. Dan Baker, Dr. Colin Waters, Dr. Murray Sciffer and the rest of the space physics groups at Augsburg, LASP, and Newcastle. I am very grateful to Dr. Reiner Friedel and Dr. Josef Koller for their invitation out to LANL and for their help while I was visiting. I would like to thank my advisors Dr. Brian Fraser and Dr. Steve Morley for all their advice and guidance throughout the last few years. And last but not least I would like to thank my partner Dr. Seth Rittenhouse for his continued support and shoulder to lean on.

Contents

0.1	Statement of Originality	iii
0.2	Acknowledgement of Collaboration	iii
0.3	Acknowledgment of Authorship	iii
Acknowledgements		v
List of Figures		xiii
List of Tables		xxix
Abstract		xxxi
1	The Solar - Terrestrial Environment	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.1.1	Thesis outline	2
1.2	The Sun and Interplanetary medium	4
1.3	The Earth's Magnetic field	6
1.3.1	Regions of the Magnetosphere	11
1.3.2	Magnetospheric Current Systems	13
1.4	The Ionosphere	16
1.5	Adiabatic Invariants and Particle Motion	17
1.5.1	The First Adiabatic Invariant	19
1.5.2	The Second Adiabatic Invariant	21

1.5.3	The Third Adiabatic Invariant	21
1.6	Geomagnetic Storms	22
1.7	Thesis goals	26
2	Electromagnetic Ion Cyclotron Waves	27
2.1	Introduction	27
2.2	EMIC Waves	28
2.3	EMIC Wave Propagation	30
2.3.1	Phase and Group velocity	31
2.4	Ion Cyclotron Instability	32
2.4.1	Dispersion relations	37
2.4.2	Propagation in a multi-component plasma	39
2.4.3	Cutoff, Resonance, and Crossover	41
2.5	EMIC Wave Growth in the Magnetosphere	44
2.6	Summary	49
3	Data and Data Processing	51
3.1	The CRRES Mission	51
3.2	Fourier analysis	54
3.3	Fast Fourier Transform	55
3.4	Auto-power and Cross-power	55
3.5	Windowing	56
3.6	Wave Polarisation	56
3.7	Dynamic spectra	57
3.8	EMIC Waves	58
3.9	Geomagnetic Indices	59
3.9.1	Dst index	59
3.9.2	Sym-H index	60
3.9.3	Kp index	60
3.10	Geomagnetic Storm Analysis	61
3.10.1	Pre-onset	61

3.10.2	Main Phase	62
3.10.3	Recovery Phase	63
3.11	Superposed Epoch Analysis	66
3.12	Summary	68
4	CRRES EMIC Wave Observations	69
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Ground Based Studies	70
4.3	The Ionospheric Wave Guide	72
4.4	Satellite Studies	73
4.5	CRRES EMIC wave observations	76
4.6	Summary	83
5	EMIC Wave Occurrence During Geomagnetic Storms	87
5.1	Introduction	87
5.2	Geomagnetic Storm Effects: past studies	88
5.2.1	Previous Satellite and Ground Based Studies by phase of storm	91
5.3	EMIC waves observed by CRRES during geomagnetic storms	94
5.3.1	Recovery defined by 80% of the minimum Sym-H index	94
5.3.2	Recovery defined by the following 6 days from the minimum Sym-H index	102
5.4	Summary of EMIC wave occurrences during geomagnetic storms.	107
6	EMIC Waves - The Plasmasphere	109
6.1	The plasmasphere	109
6.2	The plasmasphere and density structures	112
6.3	CRRES quiet time plasmaspheric densities	115
6.4	EMIC waves in the storm time plasmasphere	120
6.5	Plasmaspheric plumes and EMIC waves	125

6.6	EMIC waves and density gradients	131
6.6.1	Statistical study: linear fit	131
6.6.2	Statistical study: Superposed epoch analysis	133
6.6.3	Case 1: Pre-onset/Main phase EMIC	136
6.6.4	Case 2: Main Phase EMIC	140
6.6.5	Case 3: 80% Sym Recovery phase EMIC	141
6.6.6	Case study discussion	143
6.7	Summary	143
7	Pitch Angle Diffusion of Radiation Belt Electrons by EMIC Waves	147
7.1	Introduction	147
7.2	Losses in the Radiation Belts.	148
7.2.1	The Dst Effect	149
7.2.2	Radial Diffusion	149
7.2.3	VLF Waves	150
7.2.4	EMIC Waves	152
7.3	EMIC Waves and Particle Precipitation	152
7.4	Modelling EMIC Wave Resonance with Radiation Belt Electrons	153
7.5	The Loss Cone and Strong Diffusion Limit	156
7.6	Pitch Angle Diffusion Coefficients	159
7.6.1	$D_{\alpha\alpha}$ for all CRRES EMIC Waves	160
7.6.2	Storm vs Non-Storm EMIC waves	161
7.6.3	Pitch Angle Diffusion Coefficients by Phase of Storm	164
7.7	Discussion	168
8	Conclusions and Future Research	171
8.1	Conclusions	171
8.2	Future Research	176
8.3	The Final Word	180

A	Coordinate systems	181
A.1	Earth Centered Inertial Coordinates (ECI)	181
A.2	Geographic Coordinates (GEO)	181
A.3	Geomagnetic Coordinates (MAG)	182
A.4	Geocentric Solar Ecliptic (GSE)	182
A.5	Geocentric Solar Magnetic (GSM)	182
A.6	Magnetic Geocentric Solar Ecliptic (MGSE)	182
B	Look up Tables	183
C	Acknowledgement of Collaboration	187
D	Acknowledgement of Authorship	189
	References	191
	List of Publications	221
D.1	Publications	221
D.1.1	Refereed Papers	221
D.1.2	Masters Thesis	221
D.1.3	Publications of Meteorites	222
D.2	Presentations	222
D.2.1	Presenting Author	222
D.2.2	Presentations and Posters I am an Author on but did not Present Myself	225

List of Figures

1.1	Schematic illustrating the path that particles from the Sun take to reach the Earth. It is the activity on the Eastern limb of the Sun that has a direct path to the Earth [Kivelson and Russell, 1995].	4
1.2	A visual representation of an L-shell from Kivelson and Russell [1995] .	6
1.3	The magnetosphere and its different regions which have different plasma characteristics. In this cartoon the currents are highlighted. [Lewis, 2011]	8
1.4	A cartoon of the Dungey convection model [Russell, 1999]. In the top panel southward IMF is shown to reconnect on the day side with Earth's magnetic field lines which are then dragged towards the night side by the SW and finally reconnect in the tail region. The lower panel show how northward IMF will drape around the magnetosphere and reconnect on the night side where there are regions of opposing field lines.	10
1.5	The top row shows the EUV plasmasphere on 18 June 2001 with the Sun at the right with the plume edges shown in the second row. The bottom row are in situ measurements from a LANL geosynchronous satellite [Goldstein, 2006]. The formation of a plume can be observed and will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 6.	12
1.6	The radiation belts and particle motion in the inner magnetosphere [White, 1966].	14

-
- 1.7 Cartoon of the symmetric and asymmetric ring currents. The particles in the symmetric current are trapped and their drift paths make complete orbits around the Earth. The particles in the asymmetric ring current are unable to complete a full drift orbit and are primarily lost to the magnetopause. The substorm current wedge is also shown in this figure. [Kivelson and Russell, 1995] 15
- 1.8 A cartoon of the Pedersen and Hall currents in the ionosphere [COMET Program]. 17
- 1.9 The motion of particles in the inner magnetosphere due to the three adiabatic invariants [Russell, 1999]. The gyro motion of the particle is the circular motion around a field line. The guiding centre is the centre of the circle traced out by the particle. The bounce motion is characterised by the motion of the particle's guiding centre traveling along the field line. The drift motion is the path that particle travels around the dipole field. The three of these particles motions trace out shells around the Earth defined as L-shells. 18
- 1.10 The average storm signatures in nT for weak, moderate, and great storms [Loewe and Prölss, 1997]. 23
- 2.1 A pearl or structured Pc 1 pulsation event from conjugate sites showing 180° fine structure phase shift. The top panel is a superposition of the transparencies from Kauai and Tongatapu over a 35 min. interval. The lower panel was obtained by shifting the Kauai transparency to the left by 72 seconds [Tepley, 1964]. 29

- 2.2 A schematic of the wave-particle interaction configuration for resonant particles leading to the ion cyclotron instability [Mauk, 1978]. The red arrows represent particles gaining energy, the blue arrows represent particles losing energy, and the green arrows are those particles which are neither gaining or losing energy and are considered to be in a neutral region. Three wave-particle configurations are shown and labeled 1, 2, 3 where the particles are traveling anti-parallel to \mathbf{B}_0 and the wave is traveling along \mathbf{B}_0 33
- 2.3 A schematic of the wave-particle interaction configuration for nearly resonant particles leading to the ion cyclotron instability [Mauk, 1978]. The red arrows represent particles gaining energy, the blue arrows represent particles losing energy, and the green arrows are those particles which are neither gaining or losing energy and are considered to be in a neutral region. The dashed red and blue arrows represent the direction of drift for the particle velocities. 35
- 2.4 Flow chart of the paths for generation of the ion cyclotron instability and its affect on waves and particles [Fraser et al., 2004]. 36
- 2.5 Profiles of α^* versus geomagnetic radial distance. The Solid, dashed, and dotted line are for increasingly levels of geomagnetic activity, $K_p = 1, 3$, and 5. The minimum value of α^* characterizes the plasmopause [Summers and Thorne, 2003]. 41
- 2.6 A plot of the refractive index n^2 for the R, L, and X waves and wave-normal surfaces in each bounded volume [Gurnett et al., 1965]. The crossover bands are labeled $\omega_{1,2}$ between the H^+ and He^+ band and $\omega_{2,3}$ between He^+ and O^+ band. The numbers 1,2,3,4 refer to the topological solutions for the two branches of the wave normal solution. 42
- 2.7 CRRES data used to identify the EMIC wave events. The three white lines are the oxygen, hydrogen, and helium cyclotron frequencies observed by CRRES. The stop bands for the oxygen and helium are clearly observed at about 01:00 UT and 02:00 UT. 43

- 2.8 Orbit 766 EMIC wave event showing EMIC waves and broadband noise. The two white lines are the oxygen (bottom) and hydrogen (top) cyclotron frequencies. 44
- 2.9 The wave power spectrum (top panel) and the convective growth rate using the inputs given in Table 2.2 for a 3 ion plasma (middle panel) and a 2 ion plasma (bottom panel). These values come from CRRES data for the event between 00:55 and 01:15 on 1 July, 1991 during orbit 827. The colours in the growth rate plots represent the growth rate found throughout the event as CRRES crossed through different L-shells. The vertical lines represent the peak growth frequencies found in the top panel. . . . 47
- 3.1 The Sym and Dst indices over the same period of time where the vertical lines show the onsets of storms. Due to the lower temporal and spatial resolution only 6 storms were identified using the Dst index over the same period where 9 were identified using the Sym-H index. 62
- 3.2 Flow chart of the normalisation process for the Sym-H index performed prior to the superposed epoch analysis. The first row shows the identification of the geomagnetic storms during the CRRES mission. The red boxes in the second row represent each of the three storm phases, the start of the phase labeled as t_o and the end labeled as t_1 . Each phase is then treated separately. The green boxes in the third row illustrate the normalisation of the length of the individual phases to the reference time, t_m , which is longer than the longest duration for each phase. For the pre-onset phase, this is not needed as they are already defined as the same length. A superposed epoch analysis is then performed for each phase and the results are re-combined, represented by the bottom blue box at the bottom. 64
- 3.3 A typical storm profile in Sym-H occurring during the CRRES mission in the top panel and in the bottom panel how this storm appears after it has been normalised to the longest phase. 65

- 4.1 A cartoon of the results of Loto'aniu et al. [2005] from Trakhtengerts and Demekhov [2007]. 71
- 4.2 The variation in the time of Peak Diurnal Occurrence of hydromagnetic emission activity with latitude for twenty three stations around the world from Fraser [1968]. 72
- 4.3 The upper panel shows the corresponding electric field dynamic spectrum from the PWE where the upper hybrid resonant frequency is identified and the light curve is the electron cyclotron frequency [Fraser et al., 1996]. The lower panel show CRRES EMIC wave dynamic spectra for orbit 512 on 21 Feb., 1991. The dark curve is the proton cyclotron frequency and the triangle markers are the times where EMIC wave events were observed. 74
- 4.4 A scatter plot in MLT/L polar coordinates of EMIC wave occurrences in 5 minute time segments during the AMPTE/CCE satellite mission (day 239 1984 until day 326 1985) [Anderson et al., 1992a]. 75
- 4.5 Left: EMIC wave occurrences during the CRRES mission. Middle: EMIC wave occurrences during non-storm time magnetospheric conditions. Right: EMIC wave occurrences during geomagnetic storms. CRRES did not cover the sector between 08 hr - 14 hr magnetic local times. 76
- 4.6 Left: Mean electron densities associated with EMIC waves during the CRRES mission. Middle: Mean densities for EMIC waves during non-storm time magnetospheric conditions. Right: Mean densities for EMIC waves during geomagnetic storms. 77
- 4.7 The left hand column shows magnetic latitudes vs L-shell for all EMIC waves during the CRRES mission (top), during non-storm time conditions (middle), and geomagnetic storm conditions (bottom). The right hand column has the same order but for MLat vs MLT. The diamonds are the observations during EMIC wave events for the given category, the solid line is at 0° and the dashed line is at the mean for the observations. . . . 78
- 4.8 Histogram of the percentage of time CRRES spent in 1° bins of MLat. . . 80

- 4.9 Histogram of the percentage of time CRRES spent in 1° bins of MLat while in the MLT bin of 14 - 18 hr, where the majority of EMIC waves were observed. 82
- 4.10 For the MLT bin of 14 - 18 hr; Panel a shows the average α^* by MLat bin. Panel b shows the number of minutes where EMIC Waves were observed in 1° bins. Panel c is the mean L-value for the MLat bin. Panel d is the mean background magnetic field, and Panel e shows the mean number density. 84
- 5.1 A cartoon of the relative positions of the plasmasphere (red) and the ring current (blue) as viewed though the path of a CRRES orbit. From the top to the bottom we have the pre-onset, main phase, recovery phase as defined by 80% of the min. Sym-H value, and the recovery phase as defined by 6 days after the min. Sym-H value. The regions where the ring current and plasmaspheric particles overlap are regions where we might expect to find EMIC waves, during the main and early recovery phase the formation of a plasmaspheric plume. 90
- 5.2 Top panel: The black histogram is the number of EMIC waves in 25% bins for the pre-onset phase, and 10% bins for the main and recovery phases while the red histogram shows the number of EMIC waves per hour during each bin. Middle panel: the normalised mean Kp index (black), the median (red), and the quartiles (blue). Bottom panel: The mean (black), median (red), and quartiles (blue) of the Sym - H index for the normalised storms occurring during the CRRES missions. 96

- 5.3 Equatorial plane cuts of the magnetosphere showing the number of EMIC waves in each phase. Upper Left: The occurrence locations of EMIC waves during (green) geomagnetic storms, (black) non - storm periods. Upper right: Occurrence locations of EMIC waves during the pre-onset phase. Bottom left: Occurrence locations of EMIC waves during the main phase. Bottom right: Occurrence locations of EMIC waves during the recovery phase. 98
- 5.4 The mean electron density observed by CRRES during EMIC waves for (top left) storm time EMIC waves, (top right) pre-onset phase, (bottom left) main phase, (bottom right) recovery phase. 101
- 5.5 The Sym-H index over the entire CRRES mission. The light blue sections represent the storms using the 80% of the minimum Sym-H definition for the recovery phase while the yellow sections are the extend 6 days of recovery. Above the Sym-H index the green, blue, purple, and red lines represent the pre-onset, main phase, recovery phase (80%) and the extended recovery phase respectively. This shows the ability of the extended recovery phase to completely overlap other storms. 104
- 5.6 Same as Figure 5.2 except each phase is divided into 10% bins, the pre-onset phase is defined as 1 day prior to the onset, and the recovery phase is defined as 6 days after the minimum of the storm. Here some of the EMIC waves observed in the pre-onset and main phases are also observed during the new recovery phases from previous storms as well as some of the recovery phases are observed during the new pre-onsets. The main phases and their results have not changed. 105
- 6.1 Drift paths in the equatorial plane for particles in the magnetosphere (from Nishida [1966]). The plasmopause boundary is represented by the dashed line. 111

- 6.2 The plasmopause position at 0, 1, 2, 6, and 10 hours after a sudden increase in the dawn to dusk electric field from 0.28 to $0.58 \text{ mV } m^{-1}$ shown in the equatorial plane R-LT taken from Kotova [2007]. 112
- 6.3 An image of the plasmasphere with a shoulder, a shadow, a trough, and a plasma tail or plume at 633UT on 24 May 2000 from the IMAGE spacecraft [Burch et al., 2001]. 113
- 6.4 Images a - l are pseudo-density images from the EUV instrument on board the IMAGE satellite taken on 18 June 2001 projected in the equatorial plane [Darrouzet et al., 2009]. Image m shows the solar wind electric field observed during the time covering a - l [Darrouzet et al., 2009]. . . . 114
- 6.5 Four ISEE equatorial electron density profiles over a period of low geomagnetic activity from Lemaire [1999]. The pass on day 219 of 1983 shows an extended quiet time plasmasphere. On day 215 of 1983 a clear plasmopause can be seen near $L = 3$ 115
- 6.6 The mean density that CRRES observed across L-Shells(the black line), the mean density during non-storm intervals as defined by when a storm is not occurring using the recovery phase definition as 80% recovery (red line). The Sheeley et al. [2001] model (light orange and yellow lines), 10 cm^{-3} (black straight line), and the upper limit of observable densities on CRRES are also plotted (straight blue line). 118
- 6.7 Using the mean density during non-storm times using the definition of a recovery phase as 6 days after the minimum Sym-H value, plotted are the mean across all MLT's (the open diamonds), and the mean found in the MLT bins of 12 hr -14 hr (black line), 14 hr -16 hr (purple line), 16 hr -18 hr (blue line), 18 hr -20 hr (light blue line), 20 hr -22 hr (green line), and 22 hr -24 hr (yellow line). 119

- 6.8 The means of the ratio of density observed during EMIC waves over the mean density observed by CRRES during (a) non-storm times, as defined by the + 6 day recovery excluding the EMIC wave events, (b) storm as defined by the 80% recovery, (c) quiet as defined by the 80% recovery, (d) the pre-onset, (e) the main phase, (f) the 80% recovery phase, (g) storm time using the + 6 day recovery, (h) the quiet time as defined using the + 6 day recovery, (i) and the + 6 day recovery are plotted as blue lines, and the quartiles are the blue dashed lines. The black lines in the plots represent the mean ratios for the times when EMIC waves were not observed during the specified magnetospheric conditions, and the quartiles are the black dashed lines. 121
- 6.9 The means of the ratio of density observed during EMIC waves occurring in the 14-18 MLT bin over the mean density observed by CRRES during (a) non-storm times, as defined by the + 6 day recovery excluding the EMIC wave events, (b) storm as defined by the 80% recovery, (c) quiet as defined by the 80% recovery, (d) the pre-onset, (e) the main phase, (f) the 80% recovery phase, (g) storm time using the + 6 day recovery, (h) the quiet time as defined using the + 6 day recovery, (i) and the + 6 day recovery are plotted as blue lines, and the quartiles are the blue dashed lines. The black lines in the plots represent the mean ratios for the times when EMIC waves were not observed during the specified magnetospheric conditions, and the quartiles are the black dashed lines. 122

- 6.10 The mean storm time densities by L-shell observed by CRRES between magnetic local times of 14 hr - 18 hr. The blue line is the storms as defined by the 80% recovery phase definition and the red is the storms as defined by the plus 6 day recovery definition. The yellow and orange lines are the Sheeley et al. [2001] model which outside of an L-shell of 3 include the mean densities observed by CRRES during quiet magnetospheric conditions. The overall mean of observed densities by CRRES is also plotted in black for comparison. The upper observable limit and 10 cm^{-3} are also plotted. Bottom panel: The associated percent of EMIC wave occurrence during geomagnetic storms by L-value in the 14 hr - 18 hr. magnetic local time bin. 123
- 6.11 The density for the outbound portion of orbit 547 where the plasmopause boundary and a plume are highlighted. 126
- 6.12 Modified from Spasojevic et al. [2003] the formation of a plasma plume on 26, 27 June 2001 with orbit 931 of CRRES over plotted. Although CRRES would have been traveling through the plume for approximately panels b - e and re-enter at panel h, no plasmopause nor plume boundary would have been observed and CRRES would have seen a continuous region of enhanced density throughout this time. 127
- 6.13 Top panel: The mean pre-onset phase densities by L-value observed by CRRES between magnetic local times of 14 hr - 18 hr. The black line represents the mean densities observed during the 3 hours period to the onset of a storm. The yellow and orange lines are the Sheeley et al. [2001] model which outside of an L-shell of 3 encompass the mean densities observed by CRRES during quiet magnetospheric conditions. The upper observable limit and 10 cm^{-3} are also plotted. Bottom panel: The associated percent of EMIC wave occurrence during the pre-onset phase by L-value in the 14 hr - 18 hr. magnetic local time bin. 128

- 6.14 Top panel: The mean main phase densities by L-value observed by CRRES between magnetic local times of 14 hr - 18 hr. The black line represents the mean densities observed during the main phase of a storm. The yellow and orange lines are the Sheeley et al. [2001] model which outside of an L-shell of 3 encompass the mean densities observed by CRRES during quiet magnetospheric conditions. The upper observable limit and 10 cm^{-3} are also plotted. Bottom panel: The associated percent of EMIC wave occurrence during the main phase by L-value in the 14 hr - 18 hr. magnetic local time bin. 129
- 6.15 Top panel: The mean recovery phase densities by L-value observed by CRRES between magnetic local times of 14 hr - 18 hr. The black line represents the mean densities observed during the the recovery phase of a storm as defined by the 80% recovery of the minimum Sym-H value, and the red line represents the mean densities observed during the recovery phase as defined by the plus 6 days since the minimum Sym-H value. The yellow and orange lines are the Sheeley et al. [2001] model which outside of an L-shell of 3 encompass the mean densities observed by CRRES during quiet magnetospheric conditions. The upper observable limit and 10 cm^{-3} are also plotted. Bottom panel: The associated percent of EMIC wave occurrence during the recovery phase by L-value in the 14 hr - 18 hr. magnetic local time bin. 130
- 6.16 The number density and normalised number density for CRRES orbit 810. Normalising the number density using the Sheeley et al. [2001] model of the plasmaspheric density removes the expected decrease in density as one moves away from the Earth while preserving the sign of additional density gradients within the data. 133

- 6.17 Flow chart of the normalisation process for the Sym-H index performed prior to the superposed epoch analysis. The first row shows the identification of the EMIC wave events. The red boxes in the second row represent each of the arrays associated with the epochs of interest, 5 minutes before till the start of the EMIC Wave event, the duration of the EMIC Wave event, and the 5 minutes following the EMIC Wave event. The start of the arrays are labeled as t_o and the end labeled as t_1 . Each array is then treated separately in the next step. The green boxes in the third row illustrate the normalisation of the length of the individual EMIC wave duration to, t_m , which is longer than the longest duration for the observed EMIC waves during the CRRES mission. For the preceding and following 5 minutes surrounding the event, this is not needed as they are already defined as the same length. The previous three steps are performed for both the density data and the position data. The yellow box show where the arrays are then stitched together and in the orange box rearranged according to their relative distance from the Earth. A superposed epoch analysis is then performed and represented by the blue box at the bottom. 136
- 6.18 The superposed epoch of the density ± 5 minutes surrounding an EMIC wave events in the bin $MLT = 14 \text{ hr} - 18 \text{ hr}$. The black line represents the mean of the superposed epoch while the green line are the quartiles. . . . 137
- 6.19 The superposed epoch of the density ± 5 minutes surrounding an EMIC wave events in the bin $MLT = 14 \text{ hr} - 18 \text{ hr}$ during geomagnetic storms. The black line represents the mean of the superposed epoch while the green line are the quartiles. 138

- 6.20 The spectrogram during orbit 961 (top panel), the L-values (black line) and MLT (green line) during the orbit (second panel), the magnetic latitude (third panel), the Alfven velocity (fourth panel), the density (black line) and background magnetic field (green line) during the orbit (fifth panel), and the Sym-H index (bottom panel). The red dotted lines highlight the region where EMIC waves are observed and the light blue lines highlight the time period over which there was good data from CRRES (used to create the spectrogram). Pre-onset and main phase EMIC waves can be observed from 15:15 - 18:00 on 27 August 1991. 139
- 6.21 The spectrogram during orbit 931 (top panel), the L-values (black line) and MLT (green line) during the orbit (second panel), the magnetic latitude (third panel), the Alfven velocity (fourth panel), the density (black line) and background magnetic field (green line fifth panel) over the orbit (fifth panel) , and the Sym-H index (bottom panel). The red dotted lines highlight the region where EMIC waves are observed and the light blue lines highlight the time period over which there was good data from CRRES (what is used to create the spectrogram). Main phase EMIC waves can be observed from about 17:50 - 19:00 on 14 August 1991. 142
- 6.22 The spectrogram during orbit 927 (top panel), the L-values (black line) and MLT (green line) during the orbit (second panel), the magnetic latitude (third panel), the Alfven velocity (fourth panel), the density (black line) and background magnetic field (green line) over the orbit (fifth panel), and the Sym-H index (bottom panel). The red dotted lines highlight the region where EMIC waves are observed and the light blue lines highlight the time period over which there was good data from CRRES (what is used to create the spectrogram). Recovery phase as defined by 80% of the minimum Sym-H value EMIC waves can be observed from about 21:50 - 23:20 on 12 August 1991. 144

7.1	A cartoon showing the Dst effect on the radiation belt electron flux at a fixed location, prior to a geomagnetic storm and during the main phase of a storm from Green and Kivelson [2001].	150
7.2	A cartoon showing the expected regions for EMIC wave, Chorus, and Hiss interaction with MeV radiation belt particles from Summers et al. [2007]. Case A represents a compressed magnetosphere and Case B represents a highly geomagnetic active magnetosphere.	151
7.3	The equatorial pitch angle diffusion coefficients found from Summers [2005]. Compare with their Figure 4, for EMIC waves at $L=4$ assuming $\alpha^* = 0.0023$ and a wave amplitude of 1nT resonating with radiation belt electrons of energies 1.25 (dark blue), 1.5 (green), 2 (red), 5 (light blue), and 10 (purple) MeV.	156
7.4	The last mirroring equatorial pitch angle is plotted over $3 < L < 8$ for mirroring heights of 2000 km (green), 1000 Km (red), 500 km (blue), and 100 km (black).	157
7.5	The strong diffusion limit, assuming a dipole field, is plotted for $2 < L < 8$ and for energies of 0.01 MeV (black line), 0.1 MeV (green line), 1.0 MeV (orange line), and 10 MeV (red line).	158
7.6	The median diffusion coefficients found from Summers [2005] for the EMIC waves observed by CRRES resonating with radiation belt electrons of energies 1.25 MeV (blue), 1.5 MeV (green), 2 MeV (red), 5 MeV (light blue), and 10 MeV (purple).	161
7.7	The median diffusion coefficients found from Summers and Thorne [2003] for EMIC waves observed by CRRES during non-storm intervals resonating with radiation belt electrons of energies 1.25 MeV (blue), 1.5 MeV (green), 2 MeV (red), 5 MeV (light blue), and 10 MeV (purple).	163
7.8	The median diffusion coefficients found from Summers [2005] for EMIC waves observed by CRRES during geomagnetic storms resonating with radiation belt electrons of energies 1.25 MeV (blue), 1.5 MeV (green), 2 MeV (red), 5 MeV (light blue), and 10 MeV (purple).	164

-
- 7.9 The median diffusion coefficients found from Summers [2005] for EMIC waves observed by CRRES during the pre-onset phase of a storm resonating with radiation belt electrons of energies 1.25 MeV (blue), 1.5 MeV (green), 2 MeV (red), 5 MeV (light blue), and 10 MeV (purple). 165
- 7.10 The median diffusion coefficients found from Summers [2005] for EMIC waves observed by CRRES during the main phase of a storm resonating with radiation belt electrons of energies 1.25 MeV (blue), 1.5 MeV (green), 2 MeV (red), 5 MeV (light blue), and 10 MeV (purple). 166
- 7.11 The median diffusion coefficients found from Summers [2005] for EMIC waves observed by CRRES during the recovery phase of a storm resonating with radiation belt electrons of energies 1.25 MeV (blue), 1.5 MeV (green), 2 MeV (red), 5 MeV (light blue), and 10 MeV (purple). 167

List of Tables

2.1	The IAGA classification of geomagnetic pulsations [Jacobs et al., 1964]. .	28
2.2	The inputs into the growth rate calculations for Figure 2.9.	48
4.1	The properties of storms and EMIC wave events observed during the CR- RES mission including the mean length of the storms and their phases, the percent of CRRES mission which was non-storm time, storm time, and spent in each phase, the number of EMIC wave events observed, the mean Magnetic Local Time (MLT) and L-value of the observed EMIC wave events, and the mean number density observed during the EMIC wave events.	79
5.1	The properties of the Storms and EMIC waves observed during the CR- RES mission including the mean length of the storms and their phases, the percentage of the CRRES mission which was defined as non-storm time, storm time, and for each phase, the number of EMIC waves observed, the mean Magnetic Local Time (MLT) and L-value of the observed EMIC waves, and the mean number density observed during the EMIC waves. .	95
5.2	The occurrence of EMIC waves as observed by phase of geomagnetic storm and by percentage of phase. The occurrences are presented as the number of EMIC waves observed in each bin of the phase, the percentage of EMIC waves seen during the bin by phase of storm and also by the total EMIC waves observed during storm times.	97

6.1	The magnetospheric conditions, the K-S test results (M), the 95% confidence levels, and if the hypothesis was accepted or rejected. If M is greater than the 95% confidence level, the null hypothesis is rejected. The MLT bin used here is 14 hr - 18 hr which includes the majority of EMIC wave events.	124
6.2	The r^2 and corresponding chi-squared statistics for the linear fit of the density ± 2 minutes around the start/end for all EMIC wave events. . . .	134
6.3	The r^2 and corresponding chi-squared statistics for the linear fit of the density ± 2 minutes around the start/end for EMIC wave events between 14 hr < MLT < 18 hr.	134
7.1	The median inputs used to calculate the diffusion coefficient $D_{\alpha\alpha}$ for all CRRES EMIC waves, non - storm time EMIC waves, and storm time EMIC waves including L-Shell, the magnetic latitude (MLat), the equatorial magnetic field B_{eq} , the wave parameter α^* , and the wave amplitude db, as well as the inputs for the Summers [2005] model.	155
7.2	The median inputs used to calculate the diffusion coefficient $D_{\alpha\alpha}$ for EMIC waves during the pre-onset phase, main phase, and recovery phase of a geomagnetic storm, including L-Shell, the magnetic latitude (MLat), the equatorial magnetic field B_{eq} , the wave parameter α^* , and the wave amplitude db.	162
B.1	The gyro radii for electrons assuming a dipole field in kilometres.	183
B.2	The gyro radii for protons assuming a dipole field in kilometres.	184
B.3	Life times for H^+ with 45° pitch angles in hours assuming a dipole field. .	184
B.4	The dipole magnetic field in nT for L-Shells 1 through 9 and magnetic latitudes from 0° to 30° , the magnetic latitudes and L-shells observed over the CRRES orbit.	185
B.5	The complete look up table for the CRRES results from Chapters 4, 5, and 7.	186

Abstract

Electromagnetic Ion Cyclotron (EMIC) waves have recently been considered an important process in the magnetosphere and in particular contribute to electron loss in the radiation belts. Here we describe the characteristics of EMIC waves under different magnetospheric conditions, their relationship to the plasmasphere and plasmaspheric plumes, and start examining the ability of EMIC waves to resonate with radiation belt electrons using data from the Combined Release and Radiation Effect Satellite (CRRES). The CRRES mission was operational from 25 July, 1990 until 21 October, 1991. It had an orbital period of 9 hrs and 52 minutes and was able to observe the magnetospheric region of $3 < L < 8$, magnetic local times (MLT) between 14:00 - 08:00 hr, and magnetic latitudes (Mlat) between $\pm 30^\circ$. CRRES observed 913 EMIC waves and 124 geomagnetic storms. Due to the lack of coverage around noon, the majority of EMIC waves were found to occur in the dusk sector at $MLT = 15$ hr and at $L = 6$. The highest occurrence rates for EMIC waves occurred during the main phase of geomagnetic storms, when it is expected that there may be overlap between the cold plasmaspheric plasma and the hot ring current plasma.

The role of the cold plasmaspheric plasma has been examined. It was found that EMIC waves were observed in regions with enhanced cold plasma densities under all magnetospheric conditions except for the pre-onset phase of a geomagnetic storm, which may be due to the small number of events. As CRRES was not always able to observe the boundaries of either the plasmasphere or a plasmaspheric plume during each orbit, a superposed epoch was created of the observed densities at L-values between 3 and 8 for the region between $14 \text{ hr} < MLT < 18 \text{ hr}$, the region where plasmaspheric plumes are expected to

be observed, for each phase of the 124 geomagnetic storms observed by CRRES. During the main phase of the geomagnetic storms, an increase in the plasmaspheric number density was observed between $5 < L < 7$. This is consistent with the idea of plasmaspheric plumes forming during this phase. However, the mean location of the EMIC wave events during the main phase of a geomagnetic storm falls in the middle of the plume, not on the boundary as suggested by some theories. It has been predicted that EMIC waves need negative density gradients in order to grow to observable levels and to propagate effectively through the magnetosphere. No significant correlation between local density gradients and the occurrence of EMIC waves was found.

EMIC waves have been suggested as a mechanism for electron particle loss in the radiation belts. It was found that for electrons with energies of 1.25 - 10 MeV, there were EMIC wave events where the pitch angle diffusion extended into the loss cone. It is expected that after bounce averaging the diffusion coefficients will exceed the strong diffusion regime under most magnetospheric conditions for electron energies between 1.25 and 2 MeV. On average the highest diffusion coefficients were observed during the main phase of geomagnetic storms.

CRRES has greatly increased the communities understanding of EMIC waves and their role within the Earth-Space environment. It has been shown where and when to expect to see these waves, how plumes, but more importantly enhanced cold plasma densities, play a large role in EMIC wave occurrence, and how EMIC waves are able to resonate with radiation belt electrons contributing to the main phase loss in the radiation belts. This thesis concludes with a look towards continuations of this work and future research projects which will help address some of the raised and unanswered questions throughout the thesis.